stichting mathematisch centrum



AFDELING ZUIVERE WISKUNDE

ZW 1970-002 SEPTEMBER

DANIEL B. DEMAREE THE SET OF QUANTIFIERS OF AN ATOMIC BOOLEAN ALGEBRA



2e boerhaavestraat 49 amsterdam

Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 49, 2e Boerhaavestraat, Amsterdam.

The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O), by the Municipality of Amsterdam, by the University of Amsterdam, by the Free University at Amsterdam, and by industries.

The set of quantifiers of an atomic Boolean algebra by Daniel B. Demaree 2

A <u>quantifier</u> on a Boolean algebra, $\mathfrak U$, is a mapping, E, from A into A such that (i) E0 = 0 (ii) $x \le Ex$ (iii) $E(x \cdot Ey) = Ex \cdot Ey$. In the paper [1] of Baayen, a partial ordering of quantifiers on a given Boolean algebra, $\mathfrak U$, is defined by setting $E \le E'$ iff for every $a \in A$, $Ea \le E'a$. In that paper, Baayen asks the question: Does the set of all quantifiers on a Boolean algebra always form a lattice? In the case where $\mathfrak U$ is complete and atomic, the ordering in question is isomorphic to the ordering of all partitions on the atoms, and hence the answer is 'yes'.

In this report we give a characterization of the set of quantifiers on an atomic Boolean algebra, from which we contruct a counterexample to the question of Baayen. Indeed, quantifiers E, E' on a certain atomic Boolean algebra are found which have neither a least upper bound nor a greatest lower bound.

Theorem 1. Let $\mathfrak U$ be an atomic Boolean algebra, and let \leq be the partial ordering of the set, Q, of all quantifiers on $\mathfrak U$. Let R be the set of all partitions, P, of $\mathrm{At}(\mathfrak U)$ satisfying the condition

(*) $\Sigma \cup \{\text{Pa:a} \in \text{At } (\mathfrak{U}) \text{ and a} \leq x\}$ exists in \mathfrak{U} , for each $x \in A$ where Pa denotes the element of P containing a. Then (Q, \leq) is isomorphic to (R, <).

<u>Proof</u>: Let B = At (\mathfrak{A}). For each E \in Q let E be the associated partition of B, such that $\overline{\mathbb{E}}a = \{b \in B : Eb = Ea\}$. We claim $\overline{\mathbb{E}}$ satisfies condition (*), in fact $\Sigma \cup \{\overline{\mathbb{E}}a : a \in B \text{ and } a \leq x\} = \mathbb{E}x$ for every $x \in A$. For suppose $a \leq x$, $a \in B$, and $b \in \overline{\mathbb{E}}a$. Using well-known properties of quantifiers we have $b \leq Eb = Ea \leq Ex$, which establishes Ex as an upper bound for $\cup \{\overline{\mathbb{E}}a : a \in B \text{ and } a \leq x\}$. To see that Ex is the least upper bound, suppose b < y whenever $b \in \overline{\mathbb{E}}a$, $a \in B$, and a < x.

¹This report comprises the fourth chapter of the author's Ph.D. thesis submitted to the University of California, Berkeley in May 1970. The author wishes to thank Professor J.D. Monk for the suggestion to work on this problem, first posed by P.C. Baayen.

²Research for this report was supported by N.S.F. Grant GP-7387.

By the fact that $\mathfrak U$ is atomic and E is completely additive (a property of quantifiers) we have

 $x = \Sigma\{a: a \in B \text{ and } a \le x\}$, and $Ex = \Sigma\{Ea: a \in B \text{ and } a \le x\}$. It is also not difficult to see that if a,b are atoms, then $b \le Ea$ implies Eb = Ea. Thus if $b \in B$, $b \le Ex$, then $b \le Ea$ for some $a \in B$ with $a \le x$, whence $b \in Ea$ for some $a \in B$ with $a \le x$, and therefore $b \le y$. This implies $Ex \le y$ and consequently

Ex = $\Sigma \cup \{\overline{E}a: a \in B \text{ and } a \leq x\}$. Thus \overline{E} satisfies (*). We have thus seen that every element $E \in Q$ determines an element $\overline{E} \in R$. We claim that the function $F = \langle (E, \overline{E}) : E \in Q \rangle$ is the desired isomorphism.

It is not difficult to see that F is biunique. To see that the RgF = R, suppose P \in R. Defining Ex = $\Sigma \cup \{ \text{Pa: a } \in \text{B } \text{ and a } \leq x \}$ for every $x \in A$, it is obvious that E0 = 0 and $x \leq \text{Ex}$. To see that E(x . Ey) = Ex . Ey, suppose b \in B and b \leq E(x . Ey). Then b \in Pa for some a \leq x . Ey and for some c \leq y, Pa = Pc. Hence b \leq Ex . Ey. Conversely, suppose b \leq Ex . Ey. Then b \in Pa for some a \leq x and b \in Pc for some c \leq y. Thus Pa = Pc, a \in Pc, a \leq Ey, a \leq x . Ey, and b \leq E(x . Ey). Thus for any b \in B, we have b \leq E(x . Ey) iff b \leq Ex . Ey, and $\mathfrak A$ being atomic, this implies E(x . Ey) = Ex . Ey. Consequently, E \in Q. We claim that \overline{E} = P. Indeed, suppose a \in B. Then \overline{E} a = $\{b \in$ B: b \leq Ea $\}$ and Ea = Σ Pa, and hence \overline{E} a = Pa.

It remains to show that the correspondence, F, preserves \leq . Suppose a ϵ B. Then Ea \leq E'a iff $\overline{\mathtt{Ea}} \subseteq \overline{\mathtt{E}}$ 'a. Thus $\underline{\mathtt{E}} \leq \underline{\mathtt{E}}$ ' implies $\overline{\mathtt{E}} \leq \overline{\mathtt{E}}$ '. Conversely $\overline{\mathtt{E}} \leq \overline{\mathtt{E}}$ ' implies Ea \leq E'a for a ϵ B, so by complete additivity of E, E', we have $\underline{\mathtt{E}} \leq \underline{\mathtt{E}}$ '.

Corollary 2. There exists an atomic Boolean algebra, $\mathfrak U$, such that the set of all quantifiers on $\mathfrak U$ is not a lattice (under \leq). In fact, there exist a pair of elements which have no l.u.b. and no g.l.b.

<u>Proof.</u> Let ω denote the natural numbers, E the even numbers, and D the odd numbers. Let $\mathfrak U$ be the Boolean algebra of all subsets X of ω having the property that (i) either E \cap X or E \sim X is finite, and (ii) either D \cap X or D \sim X is finite. Clearly $\mathfrak U$ is an atomic Boolean algebra with atoms $\{k\}$ for $k \in \omega$. As a notational simplification we will use k to denote the atom $\{k\}$.

From Theorem 1 it suffices to define partitions P, T on the set of atoms of $\mathfrak U$, such that P, T satisfy (*), but such that there is no least element W satisfying (*) with P \leq W and T \leq W, and also no greatest element V satisfying (*), such that V \leq P and V \leq T. We define P and T as follows:

$$P = 1,0,2 \mid 5,6,10 \mid 9,14,18 \mid 13,22,26 \mid ... \mid 3,4 \mid 7,8 \mid 11,12 \mid ...$$

$$T = 0 \mid 1,2,6 \mid 5,10,14 \mid 9,18,22 \mid 13,26,30 \mid ... \mid 3,4 \mid 7,8 \mid 11,12 \mid ...$$

We note that P and T satisfy (*). To see that P and T have no l.u.b. suppose W is an upper bound for P and T, satisfying (*). Such upper bounds exist since the one-element partition satisfies (*). Then $P \le W$ and $T \le W$, which implies

$$WO = \{0,1,2,5,6,9,10,13,14,...\}$$

Since W satisfies (*), Σ WO must exist in $\mathfrak U$. Now WO contains infinitely many even as well as odd numbers, hence $\omega \sim$ WO is finite. Thus there must exist an integer, k, such that $\{4k-1, 4k\} \subseteq$ WO. Let W' be defined by

W'O = WO
$$\sim$$
 {4k-1, 4k}
W'4k = W4k-1 = {4k-1, 4k}
W'm = Wm for m $\in \omega \sim$ WO

Then W' satisfies (*) since W does, and $P \le W'$, $T \le W'$, but W' < W. Thus a l.u.b. for P and T does not exist.

Finally, suppose V is a lower bound for P, T, satisfying (*). Such lower bounds exist, since the identity partition satisfies (*). Thus we have Vk \subseteq Pk \cap Tk for every k \in ω , and hence for every k \in ω

$A0 = \{0\}$		$V8k \subseteq \{8k-1, 8k\}$
V1, V2	<u>c</u> {1,2}	$V8k+1 \subseteq \{8k+1, 16k+2\}$
V3, V4	<u></u>	$V8k+2 \subseteq \{4k+1, 8k+2\}$
V 5	<u>c</u> {5,10}	$V8k+3 \subseteq \{8k+3, 8k+4\}$
v 6	<u>c</u> {6}	$V8k+4 \subseteq \{8k+3, 8k+4\}$
٧7	<u><</u> {7 , 8}	$V8k+5 \subseteq \{8k+5, 16k+10\}$
•••		V8k+6 <u></u> {8k+6}
		$V8k+7 \subseteq \{8k+7, 8k+8\}$

We claim that if V satisfies (*), there can be at most a finite number of atoms k such that |Vk|=2. For suppose |Vk|=2 for infinitely many k. Then \cup Vk contains infinitely many even atoms, and excludes $k \le D$

all atoms of the form 8k+6. of which there are infinitely many. Thus $\Sigma \cup \{Va: a \leq D\}$ does not exist, and V does not satisfy (*).

So |Vk|=1 for all but a finite number of atoms k. Hence there exist integers 4k-1, 4k such that $V4k-1=\{4k-1\}$ and $V4k=\{4k\}$. Let V' bedefined by

$$V''4k-1 = V''4k = \{4k-1, 4k\}$$

 $V'm = Vm \text{ for } m \neq 4k-1, 4k$

Then V' < V' and $V' \le P$, T. Thus P and T have no g.l.b.

Reference

[1] P.C. Baayen, Partial ordering of quantifiers and of clopen equivalence relations. Math. Centrum Amsterdam Afd. Zuivere Wiskunde. ZW 1962--025 (1962), 15 pp.