# stichting mathematisch centrum



AFDELING ZUIVERE WISKUNDE

ZW 2/71

**FEBRUARY** 

J.M. GEYSEL TRANSCENDENCE PROPERTIES OF THE CARLITZ-BESSELFUNCTIONS

ΖW

### 2e boerhaavestraat 49 amsterdam

Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 49, 2e Boerhaavestraat, Amsterdam.

The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O), by the Municipality of Amsterdam, by the University of Amsterdam, by the Free University at Amsterdam, and by industries.

#### Transcendence-properties of the Carlitz-Besselfunctions.

#### 1. Introduction.

In 1935 L. Carlitz [1] introduced the function

$$\psi(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \frac{t^q}{F_r},$$

where

$$F_r = \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} (x^q - x^{q^j}), r = 1, 2, \dots;$$
 (1.1)

$$F_0 = 1.$$

It furnishes an explicit example of an entire function in an algebraically closed field with non-archimedian valuation [7]. Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  denote the field of q elements where  $q = p^n$  for some prime-number p and natural number n.

We can give E  $\epsilon$  F<sub>q</sub>[x] the non-archimedian valuation

$$|E| = q^{dg/E}$$
,

where dg E denotes the degree of E and dg 0 =  $-\infty$ .

The quotientfield will be denoted by  $\mathbb{F}_q\{x\}$ , the completion with respect to  $|\cdot|$  by  $\mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$ , and the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$  by  $\Phi$ . The valuation  $|\cdot|$  can be extended to  $\Phi$  in a unique way (see [9], §78).

An element  $\alpha \in \Phi$  is a root of a polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  and  $\alpha$  is said to be an algebraic element. In [5] L.I. Wade proved that for algebraic  $\alpha \neq 0$   $\psi(\alpha)$  is transcendental over  $\mathbb{F}_q\{x\}$ . The function  $\psi(t)$  can also be written as the product

$$\psi(t) = t \prod_{E} (1 - \frac{t}{E\xi}),$$

where E runs through all non-zero elements of  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  and  $\xi$  is given by

$$\xi = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\frac{q^k}{q-1}}{\frac{k}{k}}$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{q} (x^q - x)$$

Let  $\lambda(t)$  be the inverse function of  $\psi$ , hence

$$\psi(\lambda(t)) = \lambda(\psi(t)) = t ;$$

 $\lambda(t)$  is determined mod  $\xi$ .

In [5] and [6] L.I. Wade proved the transcendence of  $\xi$  and in [8] he proved an analogue of the theorem of Gelfond-Schneider:

- If  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\beta \notin \mathbb{F}_q\{x\}$ , then at least one of the three quantities  $\alpha, \beta$ ,  $\psi(\beta\lambda(\alpha))$  is transcendental. If  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\lambda(0) = E\xi \neq 0$  then the statement still holds -

In 1960 Carlitz [2] introduced the function

$$J_{n}(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r} \frac{t^{q^{n+r}}}{F_{n+r} F_{r}^{q^{n}}}.$$

For all linear functions f, i.e. functions with the properties

$$\begin{cases} f(t+u) = f(t) + f(u) \\ f(ct) = cf(t) \end{cases}$$
 for  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,

the  $\Delta$ -operator is defined by

$$\Delta f(t) = f(xt) - xf(t).$$

In this report we shall prove the following

Theorem: let  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\beta \notin \mathbb{F}_q\{x\}$  and n be an arbitrary integer then at least one of the elements of the set

{
$$\alpha$$
,  $\beta$ ,  $J_n(\alpha)$ ,  $\Delta J_n(\alpha)$ ,  $J_n(\alpha\beta)$ ,  $\Delta J_n(\alpha\beta)$ }

is transcendental over  $\mathbb{F}_{\alpha}^{\{x\}}$ .

2. We shall use several propositions of the previous papers and recall them here without proofs.

<u>Definition 2.1</u> The function f(t) is called <u>entire</u> if f(t) converges for all  $t \in \Phi$ .

<u>Definition 2.2</u> An element  $\alpha \in \Phi$  is called an <u>algebraic integer</u> if  $\alpha$  is a root of a monic polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ .

<u>Definition 2.3</u> Let f be a linear function then we define the operators  $\Delta^{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{r} = 1, 2, ...)$  by

$$\Delta f(t) = f(xt) - xf(t)$$

$$\Delta^{r} f(t) = \Delta^{r-1} f(xt) - x^{q^{r-1}} \Delta^{r-1} f(t), (r \ge 2).$$

We shall sometimes denote f(t) by  $\Delta^0$  f(t).

Definition 2.4 (see [1]).

The linear polynomial  $\psi_k(t)$ , (k = 0, 1, 2, ...) is defined by

$$\psi_{k}(t) = \prod_{dg \in k} (t-E) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} \frac{F_{k}}{F_{j} L_{k-j}^{qj}} t^{q^{j}},$$

where

F. is defined by (1.1),

$$L_k = \prod_{j=1}^k (x^{q^j} - x), (k = 1, 2, ...),$$

$$L_0 = 1$$
 and

E runs through all polynomials (including 0) of degree < k.

#### Lemma 2.1 (expansionformula)

Let f be an entire linear function over  $\Phi$  then for M  $\varepsilon$  F  $_q[x]$  with degree  $\leq$  m we have

$$f(Mt) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{\psi_k(M)}{F_k} \Delta^k f(t).$$

Proof: see [1], §4.

Let f be the power-series defined by
$$f(t) = a_h t^h + a_{h+1} t^{h+1} + \dots$$

$$(a_i \in \Phi, h \in \mathbb{N}, a_h \neq 0),$$

$$(a_i \in \Phi, h \in \mathbb{N}, a_h \neq 0),$$

then define

$$r_1 = \min_{i > h} \left| \frac{a_h}{a_i} \right|^{\frac{1}{i-h}}$$
 if this minimum exists

and

$$i_1 = \max \{i \mid \left| \frac{a_h}{a_i} \right|^{\frac{1}{i-h}} = r_1 \}$$
 if this maximum exists.

Furthermore inductively

$$r_{k} = \min_{i>i_{k-1}} \left| \frac{a_{i}}{a_{i}} \right|^{\frac{1}{i-i_{k-1}}}$$
 if this minimum exists

and'

$$i_k = \max \{i \mid \frac{a_{i_{k-1}}}{a_i} \mid \frac{1}{i-i_{k-1}} = r_k \}$$
 if this maximum exists.

We now have the following

<u>Lemma 2.2</u> The power series f(t) of (2.1) has  $i_1$ -h zeros t in  $\Phi$ with  $|t| = r_1$ , and  $i_k - i_{k-1}$  zeros t in  $\Phi$  with  $|t| = r_k (k \ge 2)$ and 0 is a zero of multiplicity h. These are the only zeros of f(t). Proof: see [7], theorem 1 and [4], II §3.

Theorem 2.2 (maximum - modulus theorem)

Let f be defined by (2.1) and let f(t) be convergent for all t with |t| < R, then for all r, 0 < r < R

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max & |f(a)| \text{ exists and} \\ a \in \Phi & \\ |a| \leq r \end{array}$$

$$\max_{\mathbf{a} \in \Phi} | \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a}) | = \max_{\mathbf{n} \ge \mathbf{h}} | \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{n}} | \mathbf{r}^{\mathbf{n}}.$$

Proof: see [4], II §2.

<u>Lemma 2.3</u> If the function f of (2.1) is an entire function then f is either a polynomial or there exists an infinite sequence of different zeros  $b_i$  (i = 1, 2, ...),  $b_i \neq 0$  such that f can be written in the form

$$f(t) = a_h t^h \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{t}{b_j})^{j_i}$$
,

where j<sub>i</sub> denotes the multiplicity of the zero b<sub>i</sub>. Proof: See [4], III (22).

<u>Lemma 2.4</u> An entire function of the form (2.1) is either a polynomial or a transcendental function.

Proof: see [7], theorem 5.

Corollary 2.5 An entire transcendental function is not identically zero.

Proof: f can be written as

$$f(t) = a_h t^h \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{t}{b_i})^{j_i}.$$

Let r > 0 be such that  $|b_i| > r$  for all i, then for all t with |t| = r we have

$$|f(t)| = |a_h| r^h > 0.$$

Hence  $f \not\equiv 0$ .

## 3. Properties of $J_n(t)$

The definition of the function

$$J_{n}(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r} \frac{t^{q^{n+r}}}{F_{n+r} F_{r}^{q^{n}}},$$

which is initially defined for all non-negative rational entires n, t  $\epsilon$   $\Phi$ , can be extended to all n  $\epsilon$   $\mathbf{Z}$  if we define

$$\frac{1}{F_{-n}} = 0$$
 (n = 1, 2, ...).

It follows immediately that

$$J_{-n}(t) = (-1)^{n} \{J_{n}(t)\}^{q-n}$$
(3.1)

Furthermore we have

$$\Delta^{r} J_{n}(t) = J_{n-r}^{q^{r}}(t)$$
 (3.2)

for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Hence the expansionformula for  $J_n(t)$  (n  $\in \mathbf{Z}$ ) becomes

$$J_{n}(Mt) = \sum_{r=0}^{m} \frac{1}{F_{r}} \psi_{r}(M) J_{n-r}^{q^{r}} (t)$$
 (3.3)

From

$$J_{n}(xt) - x J_{n}(t) = J_{n-1}^{q}(t)$$

and

$$J_n(xt) - x^{q^n} J_n(t) = -J_{n+1}(t)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we get the recurrence formula

$$J_{n+1}(t) - (x^{q^{n}} - x) J_{n}(t) + J_{n-1}^{q}(t) = 0.$$
 (3.4)

We also have

$$J_n(x^2t) - (x^{q^n} + x) J_n(xt) + x^{q^n+1} J_n(t) = -J_n^q(t)$$
 (3.5)

Lemma 3.1  $J_{2n}(t)$  and  $J_{2n+1}(t)$  are linear polynomials in  $J_{0}(t)$  and  $\Delta J_{0}(t)$  of degree  $q^{n}$  with coefficients in  $F_{q}[x]$  of degree  $q^{2n}$  resp.  $q^{2n+1}$ .

Proof: this is an immediate consequence of the recurrence-formula (3.4) for  $J_n(t)$ .

Remark From the linearity of  $J_0(t)$  and the fact that  $J_0'(t) \equiv 1$  we get:  $J_0(t)$  has only singular zeros and if  $t_0$  is a zero of  $J_0(t)$  then so is  $ct_0$  with  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ .

From (3.1) we see that we can write

$$J_n(t) = \{G_n(t)\}^{q^n}, (n \ge 0),$$

where  $G_n(t)$  is a linear function with

 $G_n^{\dagger}(t) \equiv \text{non-zero constant.}$ 

Hence all zeros of  $G_n(t)$  are single and therefore all zeros of  $J_n(t)$ ,  $(n \ge 0)$  have multiplicity  $q^n$ .

Let us denote dg  $\alpha = {}^{\mathbf{q}} \log |\alpha|$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$ .

As a consequence of lemma 2.2 we have

Lemma 3.2  $J_n(t)$ ,  $(n \ge 0)$  has a zero of order  $q^n$  in 0 and  $q^{n+k} - q^{n+k-1}$  zeros of degree  $n + 2(k-1) + \frac{2q}{q-1}$ , (k = 0, 1, 2, ...).

Remark From lemma 3.2 we can deduce that if  $t_0$  is a zero of  $J_n(t)$  for some n>0 then  $t_0$  is neither a zero of  $J_{n-1}(t)$  nor a zero of  $J_{n+1}(t)$ .

### 4. Transcendence properties of $J_0(t)$

In his book "Einführung in die Transzendenten Zahlen", Schneider discussed some transcendence properties of the Besselfunctions. Here we can use the method of the proof of the analogue of the Gelfond-Schneider theorem to prove the transcendence of at least one of the elements  $\{\alpha, \beta, J_0(\alpha), \Delta J_0(\alpha), J_0(\alpha\beta), \Delta J_0($ 

Definition 4.1 Let  $\alpha \in \Phi$  be algebraic over  $\mathbb{F}_q\{x\}$  of degree s. Then by  $\alpha = \alpha^{(1)}$ ,  $\alpha^{(2)}$ , ...,  $\alpha^{(s)}$  we denote the conjugate elements of  $\alpha$ . Let  $K(\alpha)$  denote the extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$  in which we have the extended valuation  $|\cdot|$ , where

$$dg \alpha = {}^{q}log|\alpha|$$
.

Define

$$d^*(\alpha) = \max_{j=1,\ldots,s} dg(\alpha^{(j)}).$$

<u>Lemma 4.1</u> Let m,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with 0 < m < n; the system of linear equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{ki} X_{i} = 0 , (k = 1, ..., m)$$
 (4.1)

where  $A_{ki} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  and max  $dg(A_{ki}) \leq a$  (a  $\in \mathbb{N}$ ), has a non-trivial solution  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  with

$$X_i \in F_q[x],$$

such that

dg X<sub>1</sub> < 
$$\left[\frac{ma}{n-m} + 1\right]$$
, (i = 1, ..., n).

Proof: Define

$$Y_k := \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{ki} X_i,$$
 (k = 1, ..., m)

then for  $X_i \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ ,  $Y_k$  is a polynomial. Let U be an arbitrary natural number. The cube  $\{(X_i)_{i=1}^n \mid dg \ X_i < U\}$  contains  $q^{Un}$  grating points. If  $dg \ X_i < U$  ( $i=1,\ldots,n$ ) then

$$dg Y_k \leq max (dg A_{ki} + dg X_i) < a + U, (k = 1, ..., m).$$

Every grating point  $(X_i)_i$  corresponds with a grating-point of the cube  $\{(Y_k)_{k=1}^m \mid \text{dg } Y_k < a + U\}$  which contains  $(q^{a+U})^m$  points.

If we choose

$$U = \left[\frac{ma}{n-m} + 1\right]$$

then at least two different points  $(X_i^{(1)})_i$  and  $(X_i^{(2)})_i$  induce the same point  $(Y_k)_k$ .

Hence  $(X_i^{(1)} - X_i^{(2)})_i$  is a solution of (4.1) and

$$dg (X_{i}^{(1)} - X_{i}^{(2)}) \le max (dg X_{i}^{(1)}, dg X_{i}^{(2)}) < [\frac{ma}{n-m} + 1],$$

$$(i = 1, ..., n).$$

Lemma 4.2 Let K be a separable extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q\{x\}$  of degree  $\sigma$ . Let r, s  $\in \mathbb{N}$  with 0 < r < s. Then the system of linear equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{ki} \xi_{i} = 0, \qquad (k = 1, ..., r)$$
 (4.2)

where  $\alpha_{ki}$  are algebraic integers in K and a = max  $d^*(\alpha_{ki})$  has a k,i

non-trivial solution  $(\xi_i)_{i=1}^r$  with

$$\xi_i \in \mathbb{F}_q[x],$$

such that

$$d^*(\xi_i) < \frac{cs + ra}{s - r},$$
 (i = 1, ..., s)

where c is a positive constant only depending on the field K. Proof: Let  $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{\sigma}$  be a base of algebraic integers for K over  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{G}}\{\mathbf{x}\}$ , then

$$\xi_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} X_{ij} \beta_{j},$$
 (i = 1, ..., s) (4.3)

where  $X_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ . Substituting (4.3) in (4.2) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{ki} \xi_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} \alpha_{ki} \beta_{j} X_{ij} = 0, \quad (k = 1, ..., r). \quad (4.4)$$

Here  $\alpha_{ki}$ ,  $\beta_i$  are algebraic integers, hence

$$\alpha_{ki} \beta_{j} = \sum_{l=1}^{\sigma} M_{kijl} \beta_{l}, \quad (k=1,...,r; i=1,...,s; j=1,...,\sigma)$$
(4.5)

with M  $\epsilon$  F[x]. Substituting (4.5) in (4.4) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} \sum_{k=1}^{s} M_{kijl} \beta_{l} X_{ij} = 0, (k = 1,..., r).$$
 (4.6)

The  $(\beta_1)^{\sigma}$  form a base over  $\mathbb{F}_q\{x\}$  and therefore (4.6) becomes

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} M_{kijl} X_{ij} = 0, (k=1,..., r; l=1,..., \sigma).$$
 (4.7)

This is a system of ro linear equations in so variables with polynomial coefficients. Considering the conjugated forms of (4.5):

$$(\alpha_{ki} \beta_{j})^{(v)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} M_{kijl} \beta_{l}^{(v)}, \quad (v = 1,..., \sigma)$$

we can express  $M_{kijl}$  as a linear combination of  $(\alpha_{ki} \beta_j)^{(\nu)}$  with coefficients that only depend on the field K and therefore

$$dg M_{kijl} < c_1 + \max_{i,j,k} d^*(\alpha_{ki} \beta_j) < c_2 + a,$$

where  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  are positive constants only depending on K. We can choose  $c_2$  such that  $c_2$  + a  $\epsilon$  N.

Now we can use lemma 4.1 and (4.7) has a solution in polynomials  $(X_{i,j})_{i,j}$ , (i = 1, ..., s; j = 1, ...,  $\sigma$ ) such that

$$dg X_{i,j} < \left[ \frac{r^{\sigma}(c_2 + a)}{s^{\sigma} - r^{\sigma}} + 1 \right] = \left[ \frac{r(c_2 + a)}{s - r} + 1 \right].$$

Hence from (4.3) we deduce that the system (4.2) has a non-trivial solution  $\xi_1$ , ...,  $\xi_s$  such that

 $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\rm i}$  is an algebraic integer and

$$d^{*}(\xi_{i}) \leq \max_{\substack{j=1,...,\sigma\\i=1,...,s}} d^{*}(X_{ij}, \beta_{j}) < c_{j}$$

$$\leq c_{3} + \left[\frac{r(c_{2} + a)}{s - r} + 1\right] < \frac{cs + ar}{s - r},$$

where c > 0 only depends on K.

Theorem 4.3 Let  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\beta \notin \mathbb{F}_q\{x\}$ , then at least one of the elements of the set

$$V = \{\alpha, \beta, J_0(\alpha), \Delta J_0(\alpha), J_0(\alpha\beta), \Delta J_0(\alpha\beta)\}$$

is transcendental over  $\mathbb{F}_{q}\{x\}$ .

Proof: Suppose all elements of the set V are algebraic over  $\mathbb{F}_q\{x\}$ , then they generate an algebraic extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$  of exponent e. Let K be the separable extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))$  generated by the  $p^e$ -th powers of the elements of V and let  $[K:\mathbb{F}_q((x^{-1}))]=s$ . Also the

 $q^e$ -th powers of the elements of V are elements of K and there exists a polynomial  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  of degree  $c_0$  such that

$$\Gamma \alpha^{q^e}$$
,  $\Gamma \beta^{q^e}$ ,  $\Gamma \{J_0(\alpha)\}^{q^e}$ ,  $\Gamma \{\Delta J_0(\alpha)\}^{q^e}$ ,  $\Gamma \{J_0(\alpha\beta)\}^{q^e}$ ,  $\Gamma \{\Delta J_0(\alpha\beta)\}^{q^e}$ 

are algebraic integers of K.

Let k and 1 be natural numbers which will be determined later. Define the function

$$L(t) := P_1(t) + P_2(t) J_0^{q^e(t\alpha)} + ... + P_{q^2k}(t) \{J_0(t\alpha)\}^{q^e(q^{2k}-1)},$$

where

$$P_{i}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{q^{2l-1}} X_{ij} t^{jq^{e}}, (i = 1, ..., q^{2k}).$$
 (4.8)

We now proceed in several steps.

Denote 
$$m := k + 1 - 1$$
 and  $k < \frac{1}{3} 1$ . (4.9)

Step 1; Assertion: we can determine the coefficients X<sub>ij</sub>  $(0 \le j \le q^{2\frac{1}{2}})$  1;  $1 \le i \le q^{2k}$  of the polynomials P<sub>i</sub> such that

- (1) all X are algebraic integers, not all zero
- (2) for all A, B  $\in$   $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  with dg A < m, dg B < m

$$L(A + \beta B) = 0.$$

Proof: Since  $\alpha \neq 0$   $J_0(t\alpha) \neq 0$ . Hence substituting  $t = A + \beta B$  (dg A < m, dg B < m) in (4.8) we get a non-trivial system of  $q^{2m}$  equations in  $q^{2(k+1)}$  variables  $X_i$ :

$$L(A + \beta B) = \sum_{i=0}^{q^{2k}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q^{2l}-1} (A + \beta B)^{jq^{e}} J_{0}(\alpha(A + \beta B))^{iq^{e}} X_{ij} = 0,$$

Since  $J_{\cap}(t)$  is a linear function we have

$$J_{O}(\alpha(A + \beta B)) = J_{O}(\alpha A) + J_{O}(\alpha \beta B).$$

Using the expansionformula (3.3) and the formulae (3.1) and (3.2) we obtain

$$\begin{split} J_O(\alpha A) &= \sum\limits_{\mu=0}^m \frac{(-1)^\mu}{F_\mu} \ J_\mu(\alpha) \ \psi_\mu(A). \end{split}$$
 Notice that  $\frac{\psi_\mu(A)}{F_\mu}$  is the polynomial  $AL_\mu \ \frac{\pi}{dg} \ E^{<\mu}$  ( $A^{q-1} - E^{q-1}$ ),

where the product is taken over all primary polynomials E and  $L_{_{11}}$ is defined in def. 2.4. According to lemma 3.1  $J_{\mu}(\alpha)$  is a polynomial of degree q in  $J_{0}(\alpha)$  and  $\Delta J_{1}(\alpha)$  with coefficients as in  $J_{0}(\alpha)$ and  $\Delta J_0(\alpha)$  with coefficients of degree <  $q^{\mu}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ . Hence

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{dg J}_{0}(\alpha A) \leq mq^{m} + \max \limits_{\mu} \text{dg J}_{\mu}(\alpha) \leq \\ & \mu & \frac{m}{2} \\ \leq mq^{m} + q^{m} + 2q^{2} \max(\text{dg J}_{0}(\alpha), \text{dg } \Delta J_{0}(\alpha)). \end{array}$$

The coefficients of  $X_{i,j}$  in the linear equations (4.10) are polynomials in

$$\beta^{q^e}$$
 of degree  $q^{21}-1$ 

$$J_0^{q^e}(\alpha), (\Delta J_0(\alpha))^{q^e}, J_0^{q^e}(\alpha\beta), (\Delta J_0(\alpha\beta))^{q^e} \text{ of degree } (q^{2k}-1)q^{2k}$$

with coefficients in F<sub>a</sub>[x].

Since  $q^{2l} + 2(q^{2k} - 1)q$   $= q^{2l+2}$  by multiplying the equations (4.10) with

$$\Gamma \stackrel{Q21+2}{=} L(A + \beta B) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} D_{ij} X_{ij} = 0,$$
 $(\text{dg } A < m, \text{ dg } B < m), (4.11)$ 

were the D. are algebraic integers of K. If we put

$$c_0 = dg \Gamma$$
 $c_1 = dg \beta$ 
 $c_2 = max \{dg J_0(\alpha), dg \Delta J_0(\alpha), dg J_0(\alpha\beta), dg \Delta J_0(\alpha\beta)\},$ 

$$dg D_{i,j} \le c_0 q^{2l+2} + q^{2l+e}(m + c_1) + q^{2k+e+m}(m + c_2).$$

According to (4.9) this becomes

dg 
$$D_{i,i} \le q^{21+e}(2m + c_3)$$
,

and

$$d^*D_{i,j} \leq q^{21+e}(2m + c_{i,j}).$$

Now we can apply lemma 4.2 with  $\sigma=s$ ,  $r=q^{2m}$ ,  $s=q^{2k+2l}$  and  $a=\max_{l,j}d^*D_{l,j}$  and we can determine a set  $\{X_{l,j};\ 0\leq j\leq q^{2l-1};\ 1\leq i\leq q^{2k}\}$  such that (1) and (2) are satisfied and furthermore

$$d^*X_{ij} < (2m + c_5)q^{21+e}$$
 for  $1 > 1_0$ , (4.12)

where  $c_5 > 0$  only depends on the field K.

Let  $\mu \geq m$  be a natural number and define:

$$\eta = \mu - k + 1,$$
 (4.13)

hence  $\eta > 1$ ; furthermore define

$$\mathfrak{B}(\mu)$$
 : = {A +  $\beta$ B | dg A <  $\mu$ , dg B <  $\mu$ ; A and B not both 0}.

Step 2; Assertion: if L(t) = 0 for all  $t \in \mathcal{B}(\mu)$ , then L(t) = 0 for all  $t \in \mathcal{B}(\mu + 1)$ .

Proof: Suppose L(t) = 0 for all  $t \in \mathcal{O}(\mu)$  and take

then  $d\xi = \mu + c_1$ .

Let  $1 > 1_1 \ge 1_0$  be chosen such that  $m > c_1$ , then

$$d\xi < 2\mu$$
.

From (4.8) and (4.10) we get

$$\max_{\mbox{dgt=2}\mu} \mbox{dg L(t)} \leq \mbox{d*X}_{\mbox{i,}} + \mbox{q}^{2\mbox{l+e}} \mbox{2}\mu + \mbox{q}^{2\mbox{k+e}} \quad \max_{\mbox{dgt=2}\mu} \mbox{dg J}_0(\alpha t).$$

From the explicit formula for  $J_0(\alpha t)$  and theorem 2.2 we obtain

$$\max_{\text{dgt}=2\mu} \text{ dg } J_0(\alpha t) \leq \max_{r \geq 0} \{q^r(2\mu + dg\alpha - 2r)\} \leq c_6 q^{\mu}.$$

Substituting this and using (4.12) and (4.13) we get

$$\max_{\text{dgt}=2\mu} \text{dg } L(t) \leq q^{2\eta+e} (4\mu + c_{7}q^{4k})$$
 (4.14)

where  $c_7 > 0$  only depends on K. Since L(t) is an entire function with zeros for all t  $\epsilon \, \hat{\mathcal{O}}(\mu)$  the function

$$\frac{L(t)}{\Pi (t - A - \beta B)}$$
 is an entire function, hence we can  $\mathfrak{P}(\mu)$ 

apply theorem 2.2 and therefore we have

$$\frac{dg\left(\frac{L(\xi)}{\Pi}(\xi-A-\beta B)\right) \leq \max_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathcal{B}(\mu)}} dg\left(\frac{L(t)}{\Pi}(t-A-\beta B)\right)}{\mathfrak{B}(\mu)}.$$

Using (4.14) and substituting  $d\xi = \mu + c_1$  we obtain

$$dg L(\xi) - q^{2\mu}(\mu + c_1) \le q^{2\eta+e}(4\mu + c_7 q^{4k}) - 2\mu q^{2\mu},$$

hence

$$dg L(\xi) \le q^{2\eta + e} [4\mu + e_7 q^{4k} + (e_1 - \mu)q^{2k-2}]. \tag{4.15}$$

Since we have chosen  $\xi \in \mathcal{B}(\mu + 1)$  and since the X. are polynomials L  $(\xi)$  is a polynomial in  $\beta^q$  of degree  $q^{2\frac{1}{2}}$ 1 and in  $J_0(\alpha)^{q^e}$ ,  $(\Delta J_0(\alpha))^{q^e}$ ,  $(\Delta J_0(\alpha))^{q^e}$ ,  $(\Delta J_0(\alpha))^{q^e}$  of degree  $(q^{2\frac{k}{2}})$ 1  $q^{[\mu/2]}$ .

Since 
$$q^{2l} + 2(q^{2k} - 1)q^{[\mu/2]} < q^{2n} + 2q^{2k+(n+k-1)}$$
  
 $< 3q^{2n}$ .

 $\Gamma^{2\eta}$  L(\xi) is an algebraic integer and hence if N denotes the norm of an element of K over  $F_{\alpha}\{x\}$  we have:

 $\mathbb{N}(\Gamma^{2\eta}L(\xi))$  is a polynomial and therefore

dg 
$$N(\Gamma^{2\eta}L(\xi))$$
 is either > 0 or  $-\infty$ .

From (4.15) we have

$$\begin{split} \text{dg} \ (\text{N}(\text{\Gamma}^{2\eta}\text{L}(\xi))) & \leq \text{s} \ [\text{q}^{2\eta}\text{c}_0 + \text{q}^{2\eta+\text{e}}\{^{4\mu+\text{c}}\text{q}^{\frac{4k}{4}} + (\text{c}_1 - \mu)\text{q}^{2k-2}\}] \\ & < \text{sq}^{2\eta+\text{e}}\{_{\mu}(4-\text{q}^{2k-2}) + \text{c}_8\text{q}^{\frac{4k}{4}}\}, \end{split}$$

where  $c_8 > 0$  if  $k > k_0$ .

Now choose k >  $k_1$  >  $k_0$  such that 4 -  $q^{2k-2}$  > 0, and afterwards 1 >  $l_1$  >  $l_0$  such that

$$\mu(4 - q^{2k-2}) + c_8 q^{4k} < 0.$$

Then combining both inequalities for  $dg(N(\Gamma^{2\eta}L(\xi)))$  we get  $L(\xi) = 0$ . This concludes the proof of step 2.

Step 3: Denote for arbitrary  $\nu$  by  $\pi_{\nu}$  the product  $\pi$  (A +  $\beta$ B) then  $\Re(\nu)$ 

$$dg \pi_{v} < (v + c_{1})q^{2v}$$
.

From step 2 we conclude that for all A, B  $\epsilon$  F<sub>q</sub>[x] the A +  $\beta$ B are zeros of L(t). Since  $\beta \notin \mathbb{F}_q\{x\}$  these zeros are all different and therefore the entire functions L(t) has an infinite number of zeros. According to lemma 2.4 L(t) is a transcendental function and hence (corr. 2.5)

$$L(t) \neq 0$$
.

Furthermore from lemma 2.3 we have:

$$L(t) = \alpha_h t^h \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{t}{b_i})^{\tilde{J}_i}$$

where the  $b_i$  are the zeros of L(t) and  $j_i$  is the multiplicity of  $b_i$ . From step 2 we conclude that every element of  $\mathcal{L}(v)$  is a zero of L(t).

and we have

$$L(t) = \alpha_{h} t^{h} \underset{b_{i} \in \Re(v)}{\mathbb{H}} (1 - \frac{t^{j_{i}}}{b_{i}}) \underset{b_{i} \notin \Re(v)}{\mathbb{H}} (1 - \frac{t^{j_{i}}}{b_{i}})^{j_{i}}$$

and therefore

$$\max_{\text{dgt}=2\nu} \text{dgt} = 2\nu + 2\nu + dg \left(\frac{t^{2\nu}-1}{\pi_{\nu}}\right)_{\text{dgt}=2\nu}$$

$$\geq c_9 + 2\nu h + 2\nu(q^{2\nu}-1) - (\nu + c_1)q^{2\nu}$$

$$\geq q^{2\nu}(c_{10}\nu + c_{11}),$$

where  $c_{10} > 0$ .

On the other hand from the explicit formula of L(t) we have

$$\max_{\text{dgt=2v}} \text{dgL(t)} < (2m + c_5)q^{2l+e} + 2vq^{2l+e} + c_6q^{v+2k+e}.$$

Now let  $1 > 1_1$  and  $k > k_1$  be fixed.

Then for all  $\nu$  we have

$$q^{2\nu}(e_{10}\nu + e_{11}) < 2\nu q^{\nu} C$$
 (4.16)

where  $c_{10} > 0$  and  $c_{11}$  are constants only depending on K and C > 0 is a fixed constant only depending on k and l. We can choose  $v > v_0$  such that (4.16) is a contradiction. Hence our assumption that all the elements of V are algebraic is false, which proves the theorem.

In the proof of theorem 4.3 we use the formula

$$J_{O}(\alpha A) = \sum_{u=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{\mu}}{F_{u}} \psi_{\mu}(A) J_{\mu}(\alpha),$$

in which we can write  $J_{\mu}(\alpha)$  as a linear polynomial in  $J_{0}(\alpha)$  and  $\Delta J_{0}(\alpha)$  with polynomials in x as coefficients. In the same way the expansionformula (3.3) gives

$$J_{n}(\alpha A) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{m} \frac{1}{F_{\mu}} \psi_{\mu}(A) J_{n-\mu}^{q^{\mu}}(\alpha)$$
 (4.17)

Now we can prove the following

Lemma 4.4 For all r > 0  $J_{n-r}^{q^r}(t)$  is a linear polynomial in  $J_n(t)$  and  $\Delta J_n(t)$  with polynomials in x as coefficients, i.e.

$$J_{n-r}^{q^r}(t) = \mathcal{P}(J_n(t), \Delta J_n(t)).$$

The degree of  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $J_n(t)$  and  $\Delta J_n(t)$  is  $\leq q^{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil}$  and the coefficients  $\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil$ 

of  $\mathcal{P}$  have degree  $\leq q^{\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]} \max(rq^n, q^r)$ .

Proof: From the recurrenceformula (3.4) we get

 $J_{n-r}^{q^r}(t)$  is a linear polynomial in  $J_n(t)$  and  $J_{n+1}(t)$  of  $[\frac{r}{2}]$  degree q with polynomial coefficients with

$$dg \leq max (rq^n, q^r).$$

$$J_{n+1}(t) = (x^{q} - x) J_{n}(t) - \Delta J_{n}(t).$$

Therefore  $J_{n-r}^{q^r}$  is a linear polynomial in  $J_n(t)$  and  $\Delta J_n(t)$  of degree  $[\frac{r}{2}]$  with polynomial coefficients with  $dg \leq q^r \max(rq^n, q^r)$ .

This lemma gives us the following generalization of theorem 4.3: Theorem 4.5 Let  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\beta \notin \mathbb{F}_{q}\{x\}$ , then at least one of the elements of the set

$$W = \{\alpha, \beta, J_n(\alpha), \Delta J_n(\alpha), J_n(\alpha\beta), \Delta J_n(\alpha\beta)\}$$

is transcendental over  $\mathbb{F}_{Q}\{x\}$ .

Proof: We proceed in the same way as in theorem 4.3 except that we replace  $J_0$  by  $J_n$  and instead of (4.9) we have

$$m := k + 1 - 1 \text{ and } k < \frac{1}{6} 1.$$
 (4.18)

Hence we obtain formula (4.10) with  $J_n$  instead of  $J_0$ . According to (4.17) and lemma 4.4  $J_n(\alpha A)$  is a linear polynomial in  $J_n(\alpha)$  and  $\Delta J_n(\alpha)$  with since  $m \to \infty$ :

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{dg } J_n(\alpha A) \leq mq^m + \max_{0 \leq \mu \leq m} \text{dg } J_{n-\mu}^{q^{\mu}}(\alpha) \\ \\ & \leq mq^m + q^m + 2q^m + 2q^m \max(\text{dg } J_n(\alpha), \text{ dg } \Delta J_n(\alpha)). \end{array}$$

If we multiply  $(4.10^*)$  with  $\Gamma^{2}$  using (4.18) we find that the coefficients  $D_{i,j}$  satisfy

dg 
$$D_{i,j} \le q^{2l+e} (m + c_{l_i}),$$

and therefore

$$d^* X_{i,j} < (2m + c_5)q^{2l+e}$$
 for  $l > l_0$ .

Since  $\max_{\text{dgt}=2\mu} \text{dg } J_n(\alpha t) \leq \max_{\mathbf{r}} q^{n+\mathbf{r}} (2\mu + \text{dg } \alpha - n - 2\mathbf{r}) \leq c_6 q^{n+\mu}$ 

(4.14) is replaced by

max dg L(t) 
$$\leq q^{2\eta+e} (4\mu + c_7^{*4k})$$
 (4.14\*) dgt=2 $\mu$ 

In the same way as in theorem 4.3 we can conclude that for all  $\xi \in \Theta(\mu)$ , where  $\mu$  is an arbitrary natural number

$$L(\xi) = 0,$$

and furthermore  $L(t) \not\equiv 0$ .

Similarly we obtain

$$\max_{\text{dgt}=2v} L(t) \ge q^{2v} (c_{10}^*v + c_{11}^*) \text{ where } c_{10}^* > 0$$

and

$$\max_{\text{dgt=2v}} L(t) < (2m + c_5)q^{21+e} + 2vq^{21+e} + c_6q^{n+v+2k+e}$$

which if l and k are chosen suitable for big v leads to a contradiction. Hence at least one of the elements of W is transcendental.

#### References

[9] B.L. van der Waerden

[1]	L. Carlitz,	On certain functions connected with polynomials in a Galoisfield.  Duke Math. J. 1 (1935), 137-168.
[2]	L. Carlitz,	Some special functions over $GF(q,x)$ , Duke Math. J. $27$ (1960), 139-158.
[3]	Th. Schneider,	Einführung in die Transzendenten Zahlen, Springer, Berlin 1957.
[4]	W. Schöbe,	Beiträge zur Funktionentheorie in nicht- archimedisch bewerteten Körpern. Thesis, Helios, Münster, 1930.
[5]	L.I. Wade,	Certain quantities transcendental over $GF(p^n,x)$ , Duke Math. J. 8 (1941), 701-720.
[6]	L.I. Wade,	Certain quantities transcendental over $GF(p^n,x)$ , II, Duke Math. J. 10 (1943), 587-594.
[7]	L.I. Wade,	Remarks on the Carlitz $\psi$ -functions, Duke Math. J. 13 (1946), 71-78.
[8]	L.I. Wade,	Transcendence properties of the Carlitz $\psi$ -functions, Duke Math. J. 13 (1946), 79-85.

Moderne Algebra I

Springer, Berlin, 1960.

#### Abstract.

In this report the following statement on transcendence-properties of the Carlitz-Besselfunctions  $J_n(t)$  on fields with characteristic p is proved:

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  denote the field of q elements where q is a power of the prime number p and let  $\mathbb{F}_q$   $\{x\}$  be the quotient field of the polynomialring  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ . Let  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\beta \notin \mathbb{F}_q\{x\}$ ; then for an arbitrary integer n at least one of the elements of the set

$$\{\alpha, \beta, J_n(\alpha), \Delta J_n(\alpha), J_n(\alpha\beta), \Delta J_n(\alpha\beta)\},\$$

where  $\Delta J_n(t) = J_n(xt) - xJ_n(t)$ , is transcendental over  $\mathbb{F}_q\{x\}$ . The proof is based on the method of Schneider's proof of the Gelfond-Schneider theorem.