stichting mathematisch centrum



AFDELING ZUIVERE WISKUNDE

ZW 59/75

DECEMBER

J. VAN DE LUNE & H.J.J. TE RIELE

 \underline{A} NOTE ON THE SOLVABILITY OF THE DIOPHANTINE EQUATION $1^n + 2^n + \dots + m^n = G(m+1)^n$

Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 49, 2e Boerhaavestraat, Amsterdam.

The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O), by the Municipality of Amsterdam, by the University of Amsterdam, by the Free University at Amsterdam, and by industries.

A note on the solvability of the diophantine equation $1^{n} + 2^{n} + \dots + m^{n} = G(m+1)^{n}$

Ъу

J. van de Lune & H.J.J. te Riele

ABSTRACT

Concerning the diophantine equation

(*)
$$1^n + 2^n + \dots + m^n = G(m+1)^n$$
,

where G is a fixed positive rational, it is shown that the set of all n ϵ N for which (*) has a solution m ϵ N, has natural density zero, provided that G > $\frac{1}{e^{2\pi}-1}$. As a consequence one has that the diophantine equation

$$1^{n} + 2^{n} + \dots + m^{n} = (m+1)^{n}$$

is almost never solvable.

KEY WORDS & PHRASES: Sums of powers of integers, diophantine equation, uniform distribution.

THEOREM 1. If G \in Q and n \in IN are given then there exists at most one m \in IN such that

(1)
$$1^n + 2^n + \dots + m^n = G(m+1)^n$$
.

PROOF. Writing

(2)
$$\sigma_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} k^{n}, \quad (\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N})$$

we have (cf. [3; p.5])

(3)
$$\frac{m^{n+1}(m+1)^n}{(m+1)^{n+1}-m^{n+1}} < \sigma_m(n) < \frac{m^n(m+1)^{n+1}}{(m+1)^{n+1}-m^{n+1}}.$$

Hence, defining $\Theta = \Theta(m,n)$ by

(4)
$$\sigma_{m}(n) = \frac{m^{n}(m+1)^{n}(m+0)}{(m+1)^{n+1}-m^{n+1}}$$

we have

$$(5) 0 < \Theta(m,n) < 1, \forall m,n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It follows that if (1) is solvable we must have

(6)
$$\sigma_{m}(n) = G(m+1)^{n}$$

so that (writing Θ instead of $\Theta(m,n)$)

(7)
$$\frac{m^{n}(m+1)^{n}(m+0)}{(m+1)^{n+1}-m^{n}} = G(m+1)^{n}$$

or, equivalently,

(8)
$$m^{n+1} + \Theta m^n = G(m+1)^{n+1} - Gm^{n+1}$$
.

Since $\Theta > 0$ we obtain

(9)
$$(G+1)m^{n+1} < G(m+1)^{n+1}$$

which may also be written as

(10)
$$m < -1 + \frac{1}{1-R^{1/(n+1)}}$$

where

(11)
$$B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{G}{G+1}.$$

If (1) is solvable we also have

(12)
$$\sigma_{m+1}(n) = (G+1)(m+1)^n$$

so that (writing Θ instead of $\Theta(m+1,n)$)

(13)
$$\frac{(m+1)^{n}(m+2)^{n}(m+1+\Theta)}{(m+2)^{n+1}-(m+1)^{n+1}} = (G+1)(m+1)^{n}$$

which may also be written as

(14)
$$(m+2)^{n+1} + (\Theta-1)(m+2)^n = (G+1)\{(m+2)^{n+1} - (m+1)^{n+1}\}.$$

Since Θ < 1 it follows that

(15)
$$(G+1)(m+1)^{n+1} > G(m+2)^{n+1}$$

from which it is easily seen that

(16)
$$m > -2 + \frac{1}{1-R^{1/(n+1)}}$$

where B is as in (11).

Combining (10) and (16) we obtain

(17)
$$-2 + \frac{1}{1-B^{1/(n+1)}} < m < -1 + \frac{1}{1-B^{1/(n+1)}}$$

completing the proof of theorem 1. \square

From the above proof it is clear that if $G \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that (1) is solvable for m, then this solution is given by

(18)
$$m = m(n) = [\lambda(n)]$$

where $\lambda(n)$ is defined (for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$) by

(19)
$$\lambda(n) = -1 + \frac{1}{1-B} \frac{1}{(n+1)}$$

and [x] denotes the greatest integer not exceeding x.

Now observe that

(20)
$$\lambda(n) = -1 + \frac{1}{1 - B^{1/(n+1)}} = -1 - \frac{1}{B^{1/(n+1)} - 1} = -1 - \frac{1}{\exp(\frac{1}{n+1} \log B) - 1} =$$

$$= -1 - \left\{ \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n+1} \log B} - \frac{1}{2} + O(\frac{1}{n}) \right\} = \frac{n+1}{\log \frac{1}{B}} - \frac{1}{2} + O(\frac{1}{n}), \quad (n \to \infty).$$

Since e^r is irrational for every positive rational r it follows that $\log \frac{1}{B} = \log(1 + \frac{1}{G})$ is irrational. Hence, (see [2; p.92, Satz 9]) the sequence

$$\left\{(n+1)(\log \frac{1}{B})^{-1}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$
 is uniformly distributed modulo 1 (u.d. mod 1).

Now recall that if a real sequence $\{\alpha(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is u.d. mod 1 and if $\{\beta(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is some *convergent* real sequence than also $\{\alpha(n)+\beta(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is u.d. mod 1.

From these observations it follows that $\{\lambda(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is u.d. mod 1. Since m(n), as defined by (18), is an integer and

(21)
$$0 < \lambda(n) - m(n) < 1$$

it follows that $\{\lambda(n) - m(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly distributed on the interval (0,1).

Now fix any $G \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

(22)
$$G > \frac{1}{e^{2\pi}-1}$$

and let S be the set of all n ϵ IN for which (!) is solvable with respect to m. Then we have the following

THEOREM 2. The set S has natural density zero.

PROOF. If S is finite (possibly empty) then we are done.

If S contains infinitely many elements, it follows from (18), (20) and the definition of B that

(23)
$$\lim_{\substack{n \to \infty \\ n \in S}} \frac{n}{m(n)} = \log \frac{1}{B} = \log(1 + \frac{1}{G}).$$

For every $n \in S$ we have

(24)
$$\sigma_{m}(n) = G(m+1)^{n}, (m = m(n))$$

so that, in view of (4), we have (writing θ instead of $\theta(m,n)$)

(25)
$$\frac{m^{n}(m+1)^{n}(m+\Theta)}{(m+1)^{n+1}-m^{n+1}} = G(m+1)^{n}$$

or, equivalently,

(26)
$$m = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{G+1}{G} + \frac{\Theta}{mG}\right)^{1/(n+1)} - 1}.$$

Next we investigate the asymptotic behaviour of $\{\lambda(n)$ - m(n)\}_{n\in S} as $n\to\infty.$ Since for n ϵ S, n $\to\infty$, we have

$$(27) \qquad \lambda(n) - m(n) = \frac{n+1}{\log(1+\frac{1}{G})} - \frac{1}{2} + O(\frac{1}{n}) + \frac{1}{\log(1+\frac{1}{G})} - \frac{1}{2} + O(\frac{1}{n}) + \frac{1}{\exp\{\frac{1}{n+1}\log(\frac{G+1}{G} + \frac{\Theta}{mG})\} - 1} = \frac{n+1}{\log(1+\frac{1}{G})} - \frac{1}{2} + O(\frac{1}{n}) + \frac{1}{\log(\frac{G+1}{G} + \frac{\Theta}{mG})} - \frac{1}{2} + O(\frac{1}{n}) = \frac{1}{\log(\frac{G+1}{G} + \frac{\Theta}{mG})} + O(\frac{1}{n}) = \frac{1}{\log(\frac{G+1}{G} + \frac{\Theta}{mG})} + O(\frac{1}{n}) = \frac{1}{\log(\frac{G+1}{G} + \frac{\Theta}{mG})} + O(\frac{1}{n}) = \frac{1}{(\log(\frac{G+1}{G})^2 + O(1))} + O(1) + O(\frac{1}{n}) + O(\frac{1}{n}) = \frac{1}{(\log(\frac{G+1}{G})^2)} + O(1) + O(\frac{1}{n}) + O(\frac{1}{n}) = \frac{1}{(\log(\frac{G+1}{G})^2)} + O(1) + O(1),$$

it follows that $\{\lambda(n) - m(n)\}_{n \in S}$ is convergent as soon as $\Theta(m(n),n)$ converges for $n \to \infty$.

For the moment let us assume that $\{\Theta(m(n),n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent. Then $\{\lambda(n)-m(n)\}_{n\in S}$ is a convergent subsequence of $\{\lambda(n)-m(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, the latter sequence being uniformly distributed on (0,1). From this it follows that S has natural density zero. Hence, in order to complete the proof of theorem 2 it suffices to prove the following

THEOREM 3. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let m(n) be defined by (18). Then

(28)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \Theta(m(n),n)$$

exists and is equal to

(29) G+1 - G(G+1) log(1 +
$$\frac{1}{G}$$
)

provided that
$$G > \frac{1}{e^{2\pi}-1}$$
.

<u>PROOF</u>. Similarly as in [4] we consider the asymptotic behaviour of the sums $\sigma_m(n)$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\frac{n}{m} \to \alpha = \log(1 + \frac{1}{G})$.

By means of the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula one may show that

(30)
$$\frac{\sigma_{m}(n)}{m} = \frac{m}{n+1} + \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{r=1}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \frac{B_{2r}}{2r} {n \choose 2r-1} m^{-2r+1}$$

where the Bernoulli numbers $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{r}}$ are defined by

(31)
$$\frac{z}{z^{2}-1} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{r}}{r!} z^{r}, \quad (|z| < 2\pi).$$

It is well known that for any real $\alpha \neq 0$ (see [1; p.528])

(32)
$$\frac{1}{\alpha^{\alpha}-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{r=1}^{k} \frac{B_{2r}}{(2r)!} \alpha^{2r-1} + R_{k}(\alpha)$$

where

(33)
$$R_{k}(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha^{2k+1}}{e^{\alpha}-1} \int_{0}^{1} P_{2k+1}(x) e^{\alpha x} dx$$

so that

(34)
$$\frac{1}{e^{n/m}-1}-\frac{m}{n}+\frac{1}{2}=\sum_{r=1}^{k}\frac{{}^{B}2r}{(2r)!}\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{2r-1}+R_{k}\left(\frac{n}{m}\right).$$

Taking $k = \left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$ in (34) it follows from (30) and (34) that

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{1}{e^{n/m} - 1} - \frac{m}{n} + \frac{1}{2} - \left\{ \frac{\sigma_{m}(n)}{m} - \frac{m}{n+1} - \frac{1}{2} \right\} = \\
= \frac{1}{e^{n/m} - 1} + 1 - \frac{m}{n(n+1)} - \frac{\sigma_{m}(n)}{m} = \\
= \sum_{r=2}^{k} \frac{\frac{B_{2r}}{(2r)!} \left(\frac{n}{m} \right)^{2r-1} \left\{ 1 - \frac{n(n-1) \cdot \cdot \cdot (n-2r+2)}{n^{2r-1}} \right\} + R_{k}(\frac{n}{m}) = \\
= \sum_{r=2}^{k} \frac{\frac{B_{2r}}{(2r)!} \left(\frac{n}{m} \right)^{2r-1} \delta_{n}(2r-2) + R_{k}(\frac{n}{m})
\end{cases}$$

where $\delta_n(\cdot)$ is defined by

(36)
$$\delta_{n}(a) = 1 - (1 - \frac{1}{n})(1 - \frac{2}{n})...(1 - \frac{a}{n}), \quad (a \in \mathbb{N}).$$

From the definition of $\delta_n(a)$ it is easily seen that for any fixed a ϵ ${\rm I\! N}$

(37)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n\delta_n(a) = 1 + 2 + \dots + a = \frac{1}{2} a(a+1).$$

By mathematical induction one may show that

(38)
$$(0<) \delta_n(a) \leq \frac{a(a+1)}{2n}, (1 \leq a < n; n \geq 2).$$

As a consequence we have

(39)
$$\left| \frac{{}^{B}2r}{(2r)!} \left(\frac{n}{m} \right)^{2r-1} \delta_{n}(2r-2) \right| \leq \frac{|B_{2r}|}{(2r)!} \left(\frac{n}{m} \right)^{2r-1} \frac{(2r-2)(2r-1)}{2n}$$

so that in view of the fact that

(40)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{m(n)} = \log(1 + \frac{1}{G})$$

and our assumption that

(41)
$$G > \frac{1}{e^{2\pi}-1}$$
, (so that $\log(1+\frac{1}{G}) < 2\pi$)

we have that for some ϵ > 0

(42)
$$\left| \frac{B_{2r}}{(2r)!} \left(\frac{n}{m(n)} \right)^{2r-1} n \delta_n(2r-2) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{|B_{2r}|}{(2r)!} (2\pi - \varepsilon)^{2r-1} (2r-2) (2r-1)$$

if n is sufficiently large (independent of r).

The right hand side of (42) is the general term of a convergent series (compare (31)) so that, by a uniform convergence argument (or by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem) we obtain (as before we take $k = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$)

(43)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{r=2}^{k} \frac{B_{2r}}{(2r)!} \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{2r-1} n\delta_{n}(2r-2) =$$

$$= \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2r}}{(2r)!} \left(\log(1+\frac{1}{G})\right)^{2r-1} \frac{1}{2} (2r-1)(2r-2) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\log(1+\frac{1}{G})\right)^{2} \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2r}}{(2r)!} (2r-1)(2r-2)(\log(1+\frac{1}{G}))^{2r-3} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\log(1+\frac{1}{G})\right)^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}} \left\{ \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2r}}{2r!} x^{2r-1} \right\}_{x=\log(1+\frac{1}{G})}.$$

Now observe that (see [1; p.204])

(44)
$$x \cot x = 1 - \frac{B_2}{2!} (2x)^2 + \frac{B_4}{4!} (2x)^4 - + \dots$$

from which it is easily seen that

(45)
$$\sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \frac{{}^{B}2r}{(2r)!} x^{2r-1} = \frac{i}{2} \cot \frac{xi}{2} - \frac{1}{x} - \frac{x}{12}$$

so that

(46)
$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left\{ \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2r}}{(2r)!} x^{2r-1} \right\} = -\frac{2}{x^3} - \frac{e^{-x} - e^{x}}{(e^{-x/2} - e^{x/2})^4}$$

which, for $x = \log(1 + \frac{1}{G})$ assumes the value

(47)
$$-\frac{2}{(\log(1+\frac{1}{G}))^3} + G(G+1)(2G+1).$$

Hence, defining

(48)
$$\rho(n) = n \sum_{r=2}^{k} \frac{B_{2r}}{(2r)!} (\frac{n}{m})^{2r-1} \delta_{n}(2r-2)$$

it follows from (35) that

(49)
$$\frac{\sigma_{m}(n)}{m} = \frac{1}{e^{n/m}-1} + 1 - \frac{m}{n(n+1)} - \frac{\rho(n)}{n} - R_{k}(\frac{n}{m})$$

where, in view of (43), (46) and (47)

(50)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \rho(n) = \frac{1}{2} (\log(1+\frac{1}{G}))^2 \left\{ -\frac{2}{(\log(1+\frac{1}{G}))^3} + G(G+1)(2G+1) \right\} =$$
$$= -\frac{1}{\log(1+\frac{1}{G})} + \frac{1}{2} G(G+1)(2G+1)(\log(1+\frac{1}{G}))^2.$$

For $R_{_{\mathbf{k}}}(\frac{n}{m})$ we have the following estimate

(51)
$$|R_{k}(\frac{n}{m})| \leq \frac{(\frac{n}{m})^{2k+1}}{e^{n/m}-1} \int_{0}^{1} |P_{2k+1}(x)| e^{\frac{nx}{m}} dx \leq \frac{(\frac{n}{m})^{2k+1}}{e^{n/m}-1} \cdot \frac{4}{(2\pi)^{2k+1}} e^{n/m} =$$

$$= \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{n/m}{e^{n/m}-1} e^{n/m} \left(\frac{n}{2\pi m}\right)^{2k} \leq C\left(\frac{n}{2\pi m}\right)^{2k}.$$

Since $\frac{n}{m} \to \log(1+\frac{1}{G})$ as $n \to \infty$ and $G > \frac{1}{e^{2\pi}-1}$ (so that $\log(1+\frac{1}{G}) < 2\pi$) it follows that $R_k(\frac{n}{m})$ tends exponentially fast to zero as $n \to \infty$.

As a simple consequence of (49), (50) and (51) we have

(52)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sigma_{m}(n)}{m^{n}} = \frac{1}{\log(1 + \frac{1}{G})} + 1 = G + 1$$

so that

(53)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sigma_{\underline{m}}(n)}{m} \left\{ 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{m+1}\right)^{n+1} \right\} = (G+1) \left\{ 1 - e^{-\log\left(1 + \frac{1}{G}\right)} \right\} = 1.$$

Now observe that

(54)
$$\Theta(m,n) = m \left\{ \frac{\sigma_m(n)}{m} (1 - (1 - \frac{1}{m+1})^{n+1}) - 1 \right\} + \frac{\sigma_m(n)}{m} (1 - (1 - \frac{1}{m+1})^{n+1}).$$

Hence in order to prove that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\Theta(m,n)$ exists we only need to study the asymptotic behaviour of

$$(55) \qquad m \left\{ \frac{\sigma_{m}(n)}{m^{n}} (1 - (1 - \frac{1}{m+1})^{n+1}) - 1 \right\} =$$

$$= m \left\{ (\frac{1}{e^{n/m} - 1} - \frac{m}{n(n+1)} + 1 - \frac{\rho(n)}{n} - R)(1 - (1 - \frac{1}{m+1})^{n+1}) - 1 \right\} =$$

$$= -m(\frac{m}{n(n+1)} + \frac{\rho(n)}{n}) \left\{ 1 - (1 - \frac{1}{m+1})^{n+1} \right\} +$$

$$+ m \left\{ (\frac{1}{e^{n/m} - 1} + 1)(1 - (1 - \frac{1}{m+1})^{n+1}) - 1 \right\} - m R_{k}(\frac{n}{m}) \left\{ 1 - (1 - \frac{1}{m+1})^{n+1} \right\}.$$

Since $R_k(\frac{n}{m})$ tends exponentially fast to zero and m = O(n) it follows that

(56)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} m \, R_k(\frac{n}{m}) \, \left\{ 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{m+1}\right)^{m+1} \right\} = 0.$$

Next we have

(57)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} m \left\{ \frac{m}{n(n+1)} + \frac{\rho(n)}{n} \right\} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left\{ \frac{m^2}{n(n+1)} + \frac{m}{n} \rho(n) \right\} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{(\log(1+\frac{1}{G}))^2} + \frac{1}{\log(1+\frac{1}{G})} \cdot \left\{ -\frac{1}{\log(1+\frac{1}{G})} + \frac{1}{2} G(G+1)(2G+1)(\log(1+\frac{1}{G}))^2 \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} G(G+1)(2G+1)\log(1+\frac{1}{G}).$$

Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{e^{n/m} - 1} + 1 \right) \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{m+1} \right)^{n+1} \right) - 1 \right\} = \\
& = m \left\{ \frac{e^{n/m}}{e^{n/m} - 1} \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{m+1} \right)^{n+1} \right) - 1 \right\} = m \frac{e^{n/m} - e^{n/m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{m+1} \right)^{n+1} - e^{n/m} + 1}{e^{n/m} - 1} = \\
& = m \frac{1 - e^{n/m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{m+1} \right)^{n+1}}{e^{n/m} - 1} = \frac{m}{e^{n/m} - 1} \left\{ 1 - \exp\left(\frac{n}{m} + (n+1) \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{m+1} \right) \right) \right\} = \\
& = -\frac{m}{e^{n/m} - 1} \frac{\exp\left(\frac{n}{m} + (n+1) \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{m+1} \right) \right) - 1}{\left(0 \neq \right) \frac{n}{m} + (n+1) \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{m+1} \right)} \cdot \left\{ \frac{n}{m} + (n+1) \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{m+1} \right) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Observing that

(59)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \frac{n}{m} + (n+1)\log(1 - \frac{1}{m+1}) \right\} = \log(1 + \frac{1}{G}) + \log e = 0$$

it follows that

Combining (53), (54), (55), (56), (57), (58) and (60) it follows that

(61)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Theta(m(n), n) = 1 + G - G(G+1) \log(1 + \frac{1}{G})$$

completing the proof of theorem 3 and hence that of theorem 2. \Box

REFERENCES

- [1] KNOPP, K., Theory and application of infinite series, Blackie & Son Ltd. (1928).
- [2] KOKSMA, J.F., Diophantische approximationen, Springer (1936).
- [3] van de LUNE, J., Monotonic approximation of integrals in relation to some inequalities for sums of powers of integers, Mathematical Centre, Amsterdam, Report ZW 39/75.
- [4] van de LUNE, J. & H.J.J. te RIELE, On a conjecture of Erdös (II), Mathematical Centre, Amsterdam, Report ZW 56/75.