stichting mathematisch centrum



AFDELING ZUIVERE WISKUNDE (DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS)

ZW 131/79 NOVEMBER

A. SCHRIJVER & W.G. VALIANT
ON LOWER BOUNDS FOR PERMANENTS

Preprint

Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 49, 2e Boerhaavestraat, Amsterdam. The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O).

On lower bounds for permanents*)

by

A. Schrijver & W.G. Valiant

ABSTRACT

Let $\Lambda {n \atop n}$ be the collection of n \times n matrices with nonnegative integer coefficients such that each row and column sum equals k. We prove that

$$\min \; \{ \text{per A} \; \big| \; \text{A} \; \epsilon \; \Lambda \; \frac{k}{n} \; \} \; \underline{<} \; k^{2n} / \left(\; \frac{nk}{k} \; \right) \; .$$

KEY WORDS & PHRASES: permanents, system of distinct representatives

^{*)} This report will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Let \bigwedge_n^k be the collection of n \times n matrices with nonnegative integer coefficients such that each row and column sum equals k. We define

(1)
$$\lambda_{k}(n) := \min\{per A \mid A \in \Lambda_{n}^{k} \}$$

and

$$\theta_{k} := \inf\{\lambda_{k}(n)^{1/n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

If A ϵ Λ_n^k , B ϵ Λ_m^k such that per A = λ_k (n) and per B = λ_k (m), then

$$\lambda_{k}(n+m) \leq \operatorname{per} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_{k}(n) \lambda_{k}(m)$$
.

Combining this observation with Fekete's lemma, this ensures that, actually,

$$\theta_{k} = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\lambda_{k}(n))^{1/n}$$
.

Trivially, λ_1 (n) = 1. It is easy to show that λ_2 (n) = 2, by considering the matrices with entries 1 in the main diagonal and an adjacent diagonal. So $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = 1.$ ERDOS and RÉNYI [2] conjectured that $\theta_k > 1$ for $k \ge 3$. This conjecture was proved by M. VOORHOEVE [7], T. BANG [1] and S. FRIEDLAND [3]. VOORHOEVE [7] showed by elementary methods that

$$\lambda_3(n) \ge 3 \cdot (\frac{4}{3})^{n-1}$$
,

so $\theta_3 \ge \frac{4}{3}$. FRIEDLAND [3] and BANG [1] proved by a method due to Bang that the permanent of a doubly stochastic n × n matrix is at least e^{-n} . This immediately yields

$$\lambda_{k}(n) \geq (\frac{k}{e})^{n}; \theta_{k} \geq \frac{k}{e}.$$

Recently by a different application of Bang's method, VALIANT [6] showed that $\theta_4 \ge \frac{3}{2}$ and $\theta_6 \ge \frac{20}{9}$. J.H. van LINT [4] gave the upper bound $\theta_3 < 1.466$.

For an additional survey we refer to the book on permanents by H. MINC [5]. In this paper we give the following general upper bound for the numbers $\lambda_{\bf k}$ (n).

THEOREM. The numbers λ_k (n) defined by (1) satisfy

$$\lambda_{k}(n) \leq k^{2n} / \binom{nk}{k}$$

By Stirling's formula, this yields

COROLLARY 1.

$$\theta_{k} \leq \frac{(k-1)^{k-1}}{k^{k-2}}$$

COROLLARY 2.

$$\theta_3 = \frac{4}{3}$$

<u>PROOF.</u> Our upper bound and Voorhoeve's lower bound for θ_3 coincide, hence we have equality for k=3.

In the proof of our theorem the permanent is represented by the number of common systems of distinct representatives of two partitions. Our theorem is inspired by Bang's "tensor product formula" (cf. BANG [1]; FRIEDLAND [3]). A further inspection of this formula, (see VALIANT [6]) and the results for θ_1 , θ_2 and θ_3 lead us to conjecturing that our upper bound for the numbers θ_k is optimal.

CONJECTURE.

$$\theta_{k} = \frac{(k-1)^{k-1}}{k^{k-2}} .$$

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Let $P_{k,n}$ be the collection of all ordered partitions of $\{1,2,\ldots,nk\}$ into n classes of size k. We have

$$P_{k,n} : = |P_{k,n}| = \frac{(nk)!}{(k!)^n}$$
.

A system of distinct representatives (SDR) of such a partition $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ is a collection Γ of elements such that $|\Gamma \cap A_i| = 1$ for all i. Clearly, the number of SDR's of A equals k^n . Now take $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ and $B = (B_1, \dots, B_n)$ from $P_{k,n}$ and let S(A,B) be the collection of common SDR's of A and B. We claim that the number S(A,B) = |S(A,B)| is equal to the permanent of the matrix $\alpha = (\alpha_{i,j})$, where

$$\alpha_{i,j} = |A_i \cap B_j| (i,j = 1,...,n).$$

Indeed, if σ is a permutation of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$, then $\prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{i,\sigma(i)}$ is the number of common SDR's $(\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_n)$ of A and B such that $\gamma_i \in A_i \cap B_{\sigma(i)}$. Hence

per
$$\alpha = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n}^n \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_{i,\sigma(i)} = s(A,B).$$

Moreover, since $\Sigma_{i=1}^n$ $\alpha_{ij}=|B_j|=k=|A_i|=\Sigma_{j=1}^n$ α_{ij} , we have that $\alpha\in\Lambda_k^n$. Hence

(2)
$$s(A,B) \geq \lambda_k(n)$$
.

Let $A \in P_{k,n}$ be given A fixed SDR $\Gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)$ of A is also an SDR of $n!p_{k-1,n}$ partitions B in $P_{k,n}$, as we can distribute $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n$ in n! ways among B_1, \ldots, B_n , whereas the other elements of B_1, \ldots, B_n can be chosen freely. Since A has k^n SDR's, we find

$$\sum_{B \in P_{k,n}} s(A,B) = k^n n! P_{k-1,n}.$$

Hence by (2)

$$\lambda_{k}(n) \leq \frac{k^{n} \cdot n! p_{k-1,n}}{p_{k,n}} = \frac{k^{n} \cdot n! (k!)^{n} (nk-n)!}{((k-1)!)^{n} (nk)!}$$

proving our theorem.

REFERENCES.

- [1] BANG, T., On matrixfunctioner som med et nurerisk lille deficit viser v.d. Waerdens permanenthypotese, to appear.
- [2] ERDŐS P. & A. Rényi, On random matrices, II, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 3 (1968), 459-464.
- [3] FRIEDLAND,S., A lower bound for the permanent of a doubly stochastic matrix, Annals of Math. 110, 167-176 (1979)
- [4] LINT, J.H. van, Combinatorial Theory Seminar, Eindhoven University of Technology, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 382, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- [5] MINC, H., Permanents, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol 6, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1978.
- [6] VALIANT, W.G., On an identity of T. Bang., Math. Centre report to appear.
- [7] VOORHOEVE, M., A lower bound for the permanents of certain (0,1)-matrices, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wet. A 82 = Indag. Math. 41 (1979) 83-86.