

# Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica

REPORTRAPPORT



Probability, Networks and Algorithms



Probability, Networks and Algorithms

Some remarks on properties of generalized Pickands constants

K.G. Dębicki

REPORT PNA-RO204 FEBRUARY 28, 2002

CWI is the National Research Institute for Mathematics and Computer Science. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO).

CWI is a founding member of ERCIM, the European Research Consortium for Informatics and Mathematics.

CWI's research has a theme-oriented structure and is grouped into four clusters. Listed below are the names of the clusters and in parentheses their acronyms.

### Probability, Networks and Algorithms (PNA)

Software Engineering (SEN)

Modelling, Analysis and Simulation (MAS)

Information Systems (INS)

Copyright © 2001, Stichting Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica P.O. Box 94079, 1090 GB Amsterdam (NL) Kruislaan 413, 1098 SJ Amsterdam (NL) Telephone +31 20 592 9333 Telefax +31 20 592 4199

ISSN 1386-3711

## Some Properties of Generalized Pickands Constants

#### Krzysztof Dębicki

Mathematical Institute, University of Wrocław pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland

#### CWI

P.O. Box 94079, 1090 GB Amsterdam, The Netherlands

#### ABSTRACT

We study properties of generalized Pickands constants  $\mathcal{H}_{\eta}$ , that appear in the extreme value theory of Gaussian processes and are defined via the limit

$$\mathcal{H}_{\eta} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(T)}{T},$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(T) = \mathbb{E} \exp \left( \max_{t \in [0,T]} \left( \sqrt{2} \eta(t) - \operatorname{Var}(\eta(t)) \right) \right)$  and  $\eta(t)$  is a centered Gaussian process with stationary increments.

We give estimates of the rate of convergence of  $\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(T)}{T}$  to  $\mathcal{H}_{\eta}$  and prove that if  $\eta_{(n)}(t)$  weakly converges in  $C([0,\infty))$  to  $\eta(t)$ , then under some weak conditions  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{H}_{\eta_{(n)}} = \mathcal{H}_{\eta}$ .

As an application we prove that  $\Upsilon(\alpha) = \mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha/2}}$  is continuous on (0, 2], where  $B_{\alpha/2}(t)$  is a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter  $\alpha/2$ . It contradicts the conjecture that  $\Upsilon(\alpha)$  is discontinuous at  $\alpha = 1$ .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 60G15 (primary), 60G70, 68M20 (secondary). Keywords and Phrases: exact asymptotics, extremes, fractional Brownian motion, Gaussian process, generalized Pickands constants.

*Note:* Work partially supported by KBN under grant 5 P03A 021 20 (2001-2003) and carried out under the CWI project P1201.

### 1 Introduction

Pickands constants  $\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha/2}}$  play an important role in the asymptotic of supremum of Gaussian stochastic processes (see Pickands [5], Piterbarg [6]). Recall that  $\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha/2}}$  is defined by the following limit

$$\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha/2}} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha/2}}(T)}{T},\tag{1.1}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{B_{lpha/2}}(T) = \mathbb{E} \exp \left( \max_{t \in [0,T]} \sqrt{2} B_{lpha/2}(t) - \operatorname{Var}(B_{lpha/2}(t)) \right)$$

and  $B_{\alpha/2}(t)$  is a fractional Brownian motion (**FBM**) with Hurst parameter  $\alpha/2$ , that is a centered Gaussian process with stationary increments, continuous sample paths,  $B_{\alpha/2}(0) = 0$  and variance function  $\text{Var}(B_{\alpha/2}(t)) = t^{\alpha} \ (\alpha \in (0, 2])$ .

It turns out that the notion of  $\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha/2}}$  yields a natural extension (Dębicki [2]). Let  $\eta(t)$  be a centered Gaussian process with stationary increments, a.s. continuous sample paths,  $\eta(0) = 0$  and such that the variance function  $\text{Var}(\eta(t)) = \sigma_{\eta}^{2}(t)$  satisfies

C1  $\sigma_{\eta}^2(t) \in C^1([0,\infty))$  is strictly increasing and there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{t\dot{\sigma}_{\eta}^{2}(t)}{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(t)} \le \epsilon; \tag{1.2}$$

C2  $\sigma_{\eta}^2(t)$  is regularly varying at 0 with index  $\alpha_0 \in (0, 2]$  and  $\sigma_{\eta}^2(t)$  is regularly varying at  $\infty$  with index  $\alpha_{\infty} \in (0, 2)$ .

In the paper we use the notation  $\dot{\sigma}^2(t)$  or  $\ddot{\sigma}^2(t)$  for the derivatives of  $\sigma^2(t)$ . Moreover, in order to short the notation, we will write that  $\eta(t) \in \mathbf{C1}\text{-}\mathbf{C2}$  provided that  $\eta(t)$  is a centered Gaussian stochastic process with stationary increments and variance function that satisfies  $\mathbf{C1}\text{-}\mathbf{C2}$ .

By the generalized Pickands constant  $\mathcal{H}_{\eta}$  we mean

$$\mathcal{H}_{\eta} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(T)}{T},\tag{1.3}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(T) = \mathbb{E} \exp \left( \max_{t \in [0,T]} \sqrt{2} \eta(t) - \operatorname{Var}(\eta(t)) \right)$$

and  $\eta(t) \in \mathbf{C1}$ - $\mathbf{C2}$ . In Dębicki [2] it was proved that if  $\eta(t) \in \mathbf{C1}$ - $\mathbf{C2}$ , then  $\mathcal{H}_{\eta}$  is well-defined, finite and positive. Meanwhile it turned out that generalized Pickands constants appear in the exact asymptotics of supremum of some classes of Gaussian processes (see [2],[3]).

Both from the theoretical point of view and in spite of recent attempts to find numerical approximations of  $\mathcal{H}_{\eta}$ , there is a great need of analyzing properties of  $\mathcal{H}_{\eta}$ . In the case of classical Pickands constants the afford to compute  $\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha/2}}$  was taken by many authors, but only few fragmentary results were obtained. Namely the exact value of  $\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha/2}}$  is known only if  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\alpha = 2$  (see for example Piterbarg [6] or Bräker [1]). Recently Shao [7] and Dębicki, Michna & Rolski [3] obtained some bounds of  $\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha/2}}$ . Upper and lower bounds for generalized Pickands constants were given in Dębicki [2] and Dębicki, Michna & Rolski [3].

The difficulties in analyzing  $\mathcal{H}_{\eta}$  are manifested in the number of (sometimes opposite) conjectures that deal with properties of the function

$$\Upsilon(\alpha) = \mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha/2}}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 2].$$

For example in Michna [4] it was formulated a conjecture (based on some numerical approximations):

Conjecture 1  $\Upsilon(\alpha)$  is discontinuous at  $\alpha = 1$ .

Opposite, in some sense, conjecture is also known:

Conjecture 2  $\Upsilon(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/\alpha)}$ , where  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the Gamma function.

In this paper we focus on continuity and limit properties of  $\mathcal{H}_{\eta}$ . After introducing basic notation (Section 2), we give some estimates of the rate of convergence of  $\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(T)}{T}$  to  $\mathcal{H}_{\eta}$ , as  $T \to \infty$  (Section 3). In particular, using Theorem 3.1, we prove that the asymptotic rate of convergence is almost linear (Corollary 3.1).

Theorem 3.1 enables us to analyze some properties of a sequence of generalized Pickands constants  $\mathcal{H}_{\eta_{(n)}}$ , where  $\eta_{(n)}(t) \in \mathbf{C1}\text{-}\mathbf{C2}$  and weakly converges in  $C([0,\infty))$  to a Gaussian process  $\eta(t) \in \mathbf{C1}\text{-}\mathbf{C2}$ . Namely under some mild conditions on the variance functions of  $\eta_{(n)}(t)$  we prove that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{H}_{\eta_{(n)}} = \mathcal{H}_{\eta}$  (Theorem 4.1).

Theorem 4.1 opens a way to the analysis of properties of  $\Upsilon(\alpha)$ . In particular in Corollary 4.1 we contradict Conjecture 1, proving that  $\Upsilon(\alpha)$  is continuous as the function of  $\alpha \in (0,2]$ . Moreover, in Corollary 4.2, we obtain some limit properties of  $\mathcal{H}_{\eta}$  for  $\eta(t) = \int_0^t Z(s)ds$ , where Z(s) is a centered stationary Gaussian process with continuous covariance function R(t) and  $\eta(t) \in \mathbf{C1}\text{-}\mathbf{C2}$ .

## 2 Notation and preliminary results

We write  $\{\eta_u(t), u > 0\}$  for the family of centered Gaussian processes  $\{\eta_u(t) : t \geq 0\}$  (u > 0), where  $\eta_u(t) = \eta(u + t)$  and  $\eta(t) \in \mathbf{C1-C2}$ . This family will play the central role in the technique of proofs presented in this article.

By the attached bar we always denote the standardized process, that is  $\overline{\eta}(t) = \eta(t)/\sigma_{\eta}(t)$ . Before we state the main results, we need to point out some properties of family  $\{\eta_u(t), u > 0\}$ .

**Proposition 2.1** If  $\eta(t) \in \mathbf{C1}\text{-}\mathbf{C2}$ , then (i)

$$\sup_{s,t\in J(u)}\left|\frac{1-\mathbf{Cov}(\overline{\eta}_u(t),\overline{\eta}_u(s))}{\frac{\sigma_\eta^2(|t-s|)}{2\sigma_\eta^2(u)}}-1\right|\to 0$$

as  $u \to \infty$ , where  $J(u) = [-\Delta(u), \Delta(u)]$  and  $\Delta(u)$  is such that  $\lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{\Delta(u)}{u} = 0$ ; (ii) there exists  $\Lambda > 1$  such that

$$\sup_{t>0} \frac{\sigma_{\eta}^2(t)}{\sigma_{\eta}^2(\Lambda t)} \le \frac{1}{2}.$$
(2.1)

*Proof.* (i) is a special case of Lemma 2.1 in Dębicki [2]. (ii) is a consequence of the assumption that  $\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(t)$  is regularly varying at 0 and at  $\infty$  and the fact that  $\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(t)$  is strictly increasing.

The following constants will play an important role in further analysis. Let

$$\mathcal{H}_{\eta,\eta}(T) = \mathbb{E} \exp \left( \max_{(t_1,t_2) \in [0,T]^2} \left( \eta_{(1)}(t_1) + \eta_{(2)}(t_2) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma_{\eta_{(1)}}^2(t_1) + \sigma_{\eta_{(2)}}^2(t_2) \right) \right) \right), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\eta_{(1)}(t)$ ,  $\eta_{(2)}(t)$  are independent copies of a centered Gaussian stochastic process  $\eta(t)$  such that  $\eta(t) \in \mathbf{C1}\text{-}\mathbf{C2}$ . Analogously we introduce  $\eta_{(1);u}(t)$ ,  $\eta_{(2);u}(t)$  as independent copies of  $\eta_u(t)$ .

**Proposition 2.2** Let n(u) be such that  $\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)}{n(u)} = 1$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(t_1,t_2)\in[0,T]^2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\overline{\eta}_{(1);u}(t_1)+\overline{\eta}_{(2);u}(t_2)\right)>n(u)\right)=\mathcal{H}_{\eta,\eta}(T)\Psi(n(u))(1+o(1)) \text{ as } u\to\infty.$$

Following the same argumentation as presented in the proof of Corollary D.1 in [6] (see also [2]) we recall some basic properties of  $\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(\cdot)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{\eta,\eta}(\cdot)$ .

**Proposition 2.3** If  $\eta(t) \in \mathbf{C1}\text{-}\mathbf{C2}$ , then

- $(i)\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(T) \leq T\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(1) \text{ for } T \in \mathbb{N};$
- (ii)  $\mathcal{H}_{\eta,\eta}(T) \leq T^2 \mathcal{H}_{\eta,\eta}(1)$  for  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{H}_n(\cdot)$  is subadditive.

## 3 Estimates of rate of convergence to $\mathcal{H}_{\eta}$

In this section we find some estimates of the rate of convergence of  $\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(T)}{T}$  to  $\mathcal{H}_{\eta}$  as  $T \to \infty$ . The main theorem of this section is the following upper bound.

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $\eta(t) \in \mathbf{C1}\text{-}\mathbf{C2}$  and  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  be such that f(x) < x for each  $x \geq 1$ . If  $\Lambda > 0$  is such that  $\sup_{t > 0} \frac{\sigma_{\eta}^2(t)}{\sigma_{\eta}^2(\Lambda t)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then for each  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\left| \mathcal{H}_{\eta} - \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(T)}{T} \right| \leq 2 \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(f(T))}{T} + 2 \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta,\eta}(\Lambda^{5}T)}{T} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(f(T))}{8}\right) + 2 \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta,\eta}(\Lambda^{5}T)}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(iT)}{8}\right). \tag{3.1}$$

*Proof.* The complete proof of Theorem 3.1 is presented in Section 5.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1 we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1 If  $\eta(t) \in \mathbf{C1}\text{-}\mathbf{C2}$  and  $\alpha < 1$ , then

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \left| \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(T)}{T} - \mathcal{H}_{\eta} \right| T^{\alpha} = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

*Proof.* Since  $\eta(t) \in \mathbf{C1}$ - $\mathbf{C2}$ , then there exists  $\Lambda > 0$  such that (2.1) is satisfied. Let  $\alpha < 1$ . We take  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\alpha + \epsilon < 1$  and  $f(x) = x^{1-\alpha-\epsilon}$ . Moreover let  $T_0$  be such that  $\min(\Lambda^5 T, f(T)) > 1$  for  $T > T_0$ . From Proposition 2.3 we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{\eta,\eta}(\Lambda^5 T) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\eta,\eta}(1)(\Lambda^5 T + 1)^2$$
  
 $\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(f(T)) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\eta}(1)(f(T) + 1)$ 

for  $T > T_0$ . Thus, using Theorem 3.1, for  $T > T_0$ 

$$\left| \mathcal{H}_{\eta} - \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(T)}{T} \right| \leq 2\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(1) \frac{T^{1-\alpha-\epsilon} + 1}{T} + 2\mathcal{H}_{\eta,\eta}(1) \frac{(\Lambda^{5}T + 1)^{2}}{T} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(T^{1-\alpha-\epsilon})}{8}\right) + 2\mathcal{H}_{\eta,\eta}(1) \frac{(\Lambda^{5}T + 1)^{2}}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(iT)}{8}\right).$$

Since

$$\frac{(\Lambda^5 T + 1)^2}{T} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_\eta^2 (T^{1-\alpha-\epsilon})}{8}\right) + \frac{(\Lambda^5 T + 1)^2}{T} \sum_{i=1}^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_\eta^2 (iT)}{8}\right) = o(T^{-\alpha-\epsilon})$$

as  $T \to \infty$ , then

$$\left| \mathcal{H}_{\eta} - \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(T)}{T} \right| T^{\alpha} \to 0$$

as  $T \to \infty$ . This completes the proof.

4 A convergence theorem

In this section we present theorem that enables us to analyze properties of a sequence of generalized Pickands constants for weakly converging Gaussian processes.

Let  $\zeta(t) \in \mathbf{C1\text{-}C2}$  and  $\{\zeta_{(n)}(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of a centered Gaussian processes with stationary increments such that  $\zeta_{(n)}(t) \in \mathbf{C1\text{-}C2}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and

**W1** The sequence  $\{\zeta_{(n)}(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  weakly converges in  $C([0,\infty))$  to  $\zeta(t)$ ;

**W2** There exists  $\Lambda > 0$  such that  $\sup_{t>0} \frac{\sigma_{\zeta_{(n)}}^2(t)}{\sigma_{\zeta_{(n)}}^2(\Lambda t)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In order to short the notation, if  $\zeta(t)$  and  $\{\zeta_{(n)}(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  satisfy **W1-W2**, then we will write  $\{\zeta_{(n)}\},\zeta\in\mathbf{W1-W2}$ .

Let

$$\phi_{\zeta,T}(x) = \mathbb{E} \exp \left( x \sup_{t \in [0,T]} (\sqrt{2}\zeta(t) - \sigma_{\zeta}^2(t)) \right)$$

be the moment generating function of random variable  $\sup_{t\in[0,T]}(\sqrt{2}\zeta(t)-\sigma_{\zeta}^2(t))$ . Note that  $\mathcal{H}_{\zeta}(T)=\phi_{\zeta,T}(1)$ .

**Theorem 4.1** If  $(\{\zeta_{(n)}\},\zeta) \in \mathbf{W1\text{-}W2}$ , then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)}}=\mathcal{H}_{\zeta}.$$

*Proof.* Since the functional  $\sup_{t\in[0,T]}g(t)$  is continuous in uniform metric, then from **W1** 

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi_{\zeta_{(n)},T}(1) = \phi_{\zeta,T}(1)$$

for each T > 0 and hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)}}(T) = \mathcal{H}_{\zeta}(T) \tag{4.1}$$

for each T > 0. Thus in order to complete the proof it suffices to show that  $\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)}}(T)}{T} \to \mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)}}$ , as  $T \to \infty$ , uniformly with respect to n.

Due to **W2** there exists an universal constant  $\Lambda > 1$  such that (2.1) is satisfied for  $\zeta_{(n)}(t), n \geq 1$ . Hence takeing  $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$  in Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\left| \mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)}} - \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)}}(T)}{T} \right| \leq 2 \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)}}(\sqrt{T})}{T} + 2 \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)},\zeta_{(n)}}(\Lambda^{5}T)}{T} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{\zeta_{(n)}}^{2}(\sqrt{T})}{8}\right) + 2 \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)},\zeta_{(n)}}(\Lambda^{5}T)}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{\zeta_{(n)}}^{2}(iT)}{8}\right)$$

$$(4.2)$$

for  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ . From Proposition 2.3 we get

$$\mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)}}(\sqrt{T}) \leq (\sqrt{T}+1)\mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)}}(1)$$
  
$$\mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)},\zeta_{(n)}}(\Lambda^{5}T) \leq (\Lambda^{5}T+1)^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)},\zeta_{(n)}}(1).$$

Combining it with the fact that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)}}(1) = \mathcal{H}_{\zeta}(1)$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)},\zeta_{(n)}}(1) = \mathcal{H}_{\zeta,\zeta}(1)$  we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)}}(\sqrt{T}) \leq 2(\sqrt{T}+1)\mathcal{H}_{\zeta}(1) \tag{4.3}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)},\zeta_{(n)}}(\Lambda^5 T) \leq 2(\Lambda^5 T + 1)^2 \mathcal{H}_{\zeta,\zeta}(1) \tag{4.4}$$

for sufficiently large n. Moreover, following  $\mathbf{W2}$ , we have

$$\sigma_{\zeta_{(n)}}^2(\sqrt{T}) \ge \frac{1}{2} T^{\frac{\log_{\Lambda}(2)}{2}} \ \sigma_{\zeta_{(n)}}^2(1)$$

and

$$\sigma_{\zeta_{(n)}}^2(iT) \ge \frac{1}{2}(iT)^{\log_{\Lambda}(2)} \ \sigma_{\zeta_{(n)}}^2(1).$$

Now, using that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_{\zeta_{(n)}}^2(1) = \sigma_{\zeta}^2(1)$ , we obtain that

$$\sigma_{\zeta_{(n)}}^2(\sqrt{T}) \ge T^{\frac{\log_{\Lambda}(2)}{2}} \sigma_{\zeta}^2(1)$$

and

$$\sigma_{\zeta_{(n)}}^2(iT) \ge (iT)^{\log_{\Lambda}(2)} \ \sigma_{\zeta}^2(1)$$

for sufficiently large n, which implies that

$$\exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{\zeta_{(n)}}^2(\sqrt{T})}{8}\right) \leq \exp\left(-T^{\frac{\log_{\Lambda}(2)}{2}} \frac{\sigma_{\zeta}^2(1)}{8}\right) \tag{4.5}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{\zeta(n)}^{2}(iT)}{8}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-(iT)^{\log_{\Lambda}(2)} \frac{\sigma_{\zeta}^{2}(1)}{8}\right)$$
(4.6)

for sufficiently large n.

Combining (4.2) with (4.3),(4.4),(4.5) and (4.6) we obtain

$$\left| \mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)}} - \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)}}(T)}{T} \right| \leq 4 \frac{(\sqrt{T} + 1)\mathcal{H}_{\zeta}(1)}{T} + 4 \frac{(\Lambda^{5}T + 1)^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\zeta,\zeta}(1)}{T} \exp\left(-T^{\frac{\log_{\Lambda}(2)}{2}} \frac{\sigma_{\zeta}^{2}(1)}{8}\right) + 4 \frac{(\Lambda^{5}T + 1)^{2}\mathcal{H}_{\zeta,\zeta}(1)}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-(iT)^{\log_{\Lambda}(2)} \frac{\sigma_{\zeta}^{2}(1)}{8}\right)$$

for  $T \in \mathbb{N}$  and suffciently large n. Hence we proved that  $\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)}}(T)}{T} \to \mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)}}$ , as  $T \to \infty$ , uniformly with respect to n. Thus

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)}}(T)}{T} =$$

$$= \lim_{T \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\zeta_{(n)}}(T)}{T}$$

$$= \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\zeta}(T)}{T} = \mathcal{H}_{\zeta}.$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.1 enables us to obtain the following result, which contradicts Conjecture 1.

Corollary 4.1 Function  $\Upsilon(\alpha) = \mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha/2}}$  is continuous on (0,2].

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that if  $\alpha_n \to \alpha$ , then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha_n/2}}=\mathcal{H}_{B_{\alpha/2}},$$

where  $\alpha_n, \alpha \in (0, 2]$ 

Since for sufficiently large n we have  $(\{B_{\alpha_n/2}\}, B_{\alpha/2}) \in \mathbf{W1\text{-}W2}$  with  $\Lambda = 2^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}$ , then applying Theorem 4.1 we obtain the thesis.

Corollary 4.2 If  $\eta(t) = \int_0^t Z(s)ds$ , where Z(s) is a stationary centered Gaussian process with a continuous covariance function  $\mathbf{Cov}(Z(s+t),Z(s)) = R(t)$  and  $\eta(t) \in \mathbf{C1-C2}$ , then

$$\lim_{c \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{c\eta}}{c} = \sqrt{\frac{R(0)}{\pi}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\eta_{(c)}(t) = \int_0^t Z\left(\frac{s}{c}\right) ds$  and note that for each c > 0 we have  $c\eta(t) = \eta_{(c)}(ct)$ . Thus

$$\mathcal{H}_{c\eta} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E} \exp \left( \max_{t \in [0,T]} \sqrt{2} c \eta(t) - \sigma_{c\eta}^{2}(t) \right) =$$

$$= \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E} \exp \left( \max_{t \in [0,T]} \sqrt{2} \eta_{(c)}(ct) - \sigma_{\eta_{(c)}}^{2}(ct) \right)$$

$$= c \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{cT} \mathbb{E} \exp \left( \max_{t \in [0,cT]} \sqrt{2} \eta_{(c)}(t) - \sigma_{\eta_{(c)}}^{2}(t) \right)$$

$$= c \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E} \exp \left( \max_{t \in [0,T]} \sqrt{2} \eta_{(c)}(t) - \sigma_{\eta_{(c)}}^{2}(t) \right) = c \mathcal{H}_{\eta_{(c)}}.$$

On the other hand, using that  $\sigma_{\eta_{(c)}}^2(t) = c^2 \sigma_{\eta}^2(\frac{t}{c})$ , we have

$$\frac{\sigma_{\eta_{(c)}}^2(t)}{\sigma_{\eta_{(c)}}^2(\Lambda t)} = \frac{c^2 \sigma_{\eta}^2(\frac{t}{c})}{c^2 \sigma_{\eta}^2(\frac{\Lambda t}{c})} = \frac{\sigma_{\eta}^2(\frac{t}{c})}{\sigma_{\eta}^2(\frac{\Lambda t}{c})}.$$

Thus, if  $\Lambda > 0$  is such that (2.1) is satisfied for  $\eta(t)$ , then (2.1) is satisfied with the same  $\Lambda$  also for each  $\eta_{(c)}(t)$ .

Since  $\eta_{(c)}(t)$  weakly converges in  $C([0,\infty))$  to the process  $\sqrt{R(0)}B_1(t) = \sqrt{R(0)}\mathcal{N}t$  as  $c \to \infty$ , where  $\mathcal{N}$  has the standard normal law, then from Theorem 4.1 we conclude that

$$\lim_{c \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{c\eta}}{c} = \lim_{c \to \infty} \mathcal{H}_{\eta_{(c)}} = \mathcal{H}_{\sqrt{R(0)}B_1},$$

which combined with the fact that  $\mathcal{H}_{\sqrt{R(0)}B_1} = \sqrt{\frac{R(0)}{\pi}}$  completes the proof.

### 5 Proof of Theorem 3.1

Before presenting the proof of Theorem 3.1, we need the following lemma, which slightly extends Lemma D.1 from Piterbarg [6], giving the exact form of the constants before the exponent.

**Lemma 5.1** If  $\eta(t) \in \mathbf{C1-C2}$  and  $\Lambda > 0$  is such that  $\sup_{t>0} \frac{\sigma_{\eta}^2(t)}{\sigma_{\eta}^2(\Lambda t)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then for  $\Delta(u)$  such that and  $\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{\Delta(u)}{u} = 0$  and for each T>0,  $\delta>0$ 

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s\in[0,T]}\overline{\eta}_{u}(s) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u); \sup_{t\in[\delta+T,\delta+2T]}\overline{\eta}_{u}(t) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)\right) \leq \\
\leq \mathcal{H}_{\eta,\eta}(\Lambda^{5}T) \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(\delta)}{8}\right) \Psi(\sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u))(1+o(1)) \tag{5.1}$$

as  $u \to \infty$ . Inequality (5.1) holds uniformly with respect to u for  $\delta \leq \Delta(u) - 2T$ .

*Proof.* Since the idea of the proof is analogous to the proof of Lemma 6.3 in [6] then we present only the main steps of the argumentation. Consider the Gaussian field  $\boldsymbol{Y}_u(s,t) = \overline{\eta}_u(s) + \overline{\eta}_u(t)$  and let  $A_0 = [0,T], \ A_{\delta+T} = [\delta+T,\delta+2T]$  for  $0 \le \delta \le \Delta(u) - 2T$ . We have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\overline{\eta}_{u}(t) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u); \sup_{t\in[\delta+T,\delta+2T]}\overline{\eta}_{u}(t) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)\right) \leq \\
\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in A_{0}\times A_{\delta+T}}\boldsymbol{Y}_{u}(s,t) > 2\sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)\right). \tag{5.2}$$

Note that for each  $s \in A_0$ ,  $t \in A_{\delta+T}$  and sufficiently large u

$$\operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{Y}_{u}(s,t)) \ge 4 - 2 \frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(|t-s|)}{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(u)} \ge 2$$
 (5.3)

and

$$Var(\mathbf{Y}_{u}(s,t)) \le 4 - \frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(|t-s|)}{2\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(u)} \le 4 - \frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(\delta)}{2\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(u)}.$$
 (5.4)

Let  $\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_u(s,t) = \frac{\mathbf{Y}_u(s,t)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(\mathbf{Y}_u(s,t))}}$  and observe that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in A_0\times A_{\delta+T}}\mathbf{Y}_u(s,t) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in A_0\times A_{\delta+T}}\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_u(s,t) > \frac{2\sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)}{\sqrt{4 - \frac{\sigma_{\eta}^2(\delta)}{2\sigma_{\eta}^2(u)}}}\right).(5.5)$$

Moreover for each  $s, s_1 \in A_0$  and  $t, t_1 \in A_{\delta+T}$ 

$$\mathbb{E}(\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_{u}(s,t) - \overline{\mathbf{Y}}_{u}(s_{1},t_{1}))^{2} \leq \frac{4}{\operatorname{Var}(\mathbf{Y}_{u}(s,t))} \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{Y}_{u}(s,t) - \mathbf{Y}_{u}(s_{1},t_{1}))^{2} \\
\leq 4(\mathbb{E}(\overline{\eta}_{u}(s) - \overline{\eta}_{u}(s_{1}))^{2} + \mathbb{E}(\overline{\eta}_{u}(t) - \overline{\eta}_{u}(t_{1}))^{2}) \\
= 8\left((1 - \mathbf{Cov}(\overline{\eta}_{u}(s), \overline{\eta}_{u}(s_{1}))) + (1 - \mathbf{Cov}(\overline{\eta}_{u}(t), \overline{\eta}_{u}(t_{1})))\right) \\
\leq 16\left(\frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(|s - s_{1}|)}{2\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(u)} + \frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(|t - t_{1}|)}{2\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(u)}\right) \\
\leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(\Lambda_{0}|s - s_{1}|)}{2\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(u)} + \frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(\Lambda_{0}|t - t_{1}|)}{2\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(u)}\right) \\
\leq ((1 - \mathbf{Cov}(\overline{\eta}_{u}(\Lambda_{0}s), \overline{\eta}_{u}(\Lambda_{0}s_{1}))) + (1 - \mathbf{Cov}(\overline{\eta}_{u}(\Lambda_{0}t), \overline{\eta}_{u}(\Lambda_{0}t_{1})))) \\
= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{E}(\overline{\eta}_{u}(\Lambda_{0}s) - \overline{\eta}_{u}(\Lambda_{0}s_{1}))^{2} + \mathbb{E}(\overline{\eta}_{u}(\Lambda_{0}t) - \overline{\eta}_{u}(\Lambda_{0}t_{1}))^{2}), \\$$
(5.6)

where (5.6) follows from Proposition 2.1 and in (5.7)  $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda^5$  and follows from (2.1). Hence for  $\overline{\eta}_{(1);u}(t), \overline{\eta}_{(2);u}(t)$  being independent copies of the process  $\overline{\eta}_u(t)$ , the covariance function of the process  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\overline{\eta}_{(1);u}(\Lambda_0 s) + \overline{\eta}_{(2);u}(t)(\Lambda_0 t))$  is dominated by the covariance function of  $\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_u(s,t)$ . Thus from Slepian inequality (see [6], Theorem C.1)

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in A_0\times A_{\delta+T}}\overline{\mathbf{Y}}_u(s,t) > \frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)}{\sqrt{4 - \frac{\sigma_{\eta}^2(\delta)}{2\sigma_{\eta}^2(u)}}}\right) \leq \\
\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{(s,t)\in A_0^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\eta_{(1);u}(\Lambda_0 s) + \eta_{(2);u}(\Lambda_0 t)) > \frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)}{\sqrt{4 - \frac{\sigma_{\eta}^2(\delta)}{2\sigma_{\eta}^2(u)}}}\right) \tag{5.8}$$

$$= \mathcal{H}_{\eta,\eta}(\Lambda^5 T) \Psi \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)}{\sqrt{4 - \frac{\sigma_{\eta}^2(\delta)}{2\sigma_{\eta}^2(u)}}} \right) (1 + o(1))$$
(5.9)

$$= \mathcal{H}_{\eta,\eta}(\Lambda^5 T) \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{\eta}^2(\delta)}{8}\right) \Psi(\sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u))(1+o(1))$$
(5.10)

where (5.8) holds uniformly with respect to u for  $\delta \leq \Delta(u) - 2T$  and (5.9) follows from Proposition 2.2. Thus the assertion of Lemma 5.1 follows by combining (5.2) and (5.5) with (5.10).

Proof of Theorem 3.1 The idea of the proof is based on the comparison of upper and lower bound of  $\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,\sigma_{\eta}(u)]}\overline{\eta}_{u}(t)>\sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)\right)$ .

Let T,S be given. We introduce  $A_i=[iS,(i+1)S],\ B_i=[iT,(i+1)T]$  and let  $N_u^{(S)}=\left[\frac{\sigma_\eta(u)}{S}\right],\ N_u^{(T)}=\left[\frac{\sigma_\eta(u)}{T}\right]-1$ . Note that from Bonferroni inequality and Proposition 2.2

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,\sigma_{\eta}(u)]}\overline{\eta}_{u}(t) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)\right) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{N_{u}^{(S)}}\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in A_{i}}\overline{\eta}_{u}(t) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)\right) \\
= N_{u}^{(S)}\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(S)\Psi(\sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u))(1+o(1))$$

as  $u \to \infty$ . Thus for each S > 0

$$\limsup_{u \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0, \sigma_{\eta}(u)]} \overline{\eta}_{u}(t) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)\right)}{\sigma_{\eta}(u)\Psi(\sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u))} \le \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(S)}{S}.$$
 (5.11)

On the other hand, again using Bonferroni inequality, we have for each  $T \geq 1$ 

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,\sigma_{\eta}(u)]}\overline{\eta}_{u}(t) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)\right)$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=0}^{N_{u}^{(T)}}\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in B_{i}}\overline{\eta}_{u}(t) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)\right)$$

$$-2\sum_{0< i< j< N_{u}^{(T)}}\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in B_{i}}\overline{\eta}_{u}(t) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u); \sup_{s\in B_{j}}\overline{\eta}_{u}(s) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)\right) \qquad (5.12)$$

We split the sum in line (5.12) on the following sub-sums

$$2\sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq N_{u}^{(T)}} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in B_{i}} \overline{\eta}_{u}(t) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u); \sup_{s \in B_{j}} \overline{\eta}_{u}(s) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u) \right) =$$

$$= 2\sum_{i+1=j} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in B_{i}} \overline{\eta}_{u}(t) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u); \sup_{s \in B_{j}} \overline{\eta}_{u}(s) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u) \right)$$

$$+2\sum_{1 < j-i \leq N_{u}^{(T)}} \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in B_{i}} \overline{\eta}_{u}(t) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u); \sup_{s \in B_{j}} \overline{\eta}_{u}(s) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u) \right). \tag{5.14}$$

Note that for the sum in line (5.14), using Lemma 5.1

$$2\sum_{1< j-i \le N_u^{(T)}} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in B_i} \overline{\eta}_u(t) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u); \sup_{s \in B_j} \overline{\eta}_u(s) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)\right) \le$$

$$\le 2N_u^{(T)} \mathcal{H}_{\eta,\eta}(\Lambda^5 T) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{\eta}^2(kT)}{8}\right) \Psi(\sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u))(1 + o(1))$$
(5.15)

as  $u \to \infty$ . Moreover for  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that f(x) < x for each  $x \ge 1$ 

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in B_{i}}\overline{\eta}_{u}(t) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u); \sup_{s\in B_{i+1}}\overline{\eta}_{u}(s) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)\right) \leq 
\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in B_{i}}\overline{\eta}_{u}(t) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u); \sup_{s-f(T)\in B_{i+1}}\overline{\eta}_{u}(s) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)\right) 
+ \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[(i+1)T,(i+1)T+f(T)]}\overline{\eta}_{u}(t) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)\right).$$

Thus for the sum in line (5.13) we have

$$2\sum_{i+1=j} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in B_{i}} \overline{\eta}_{u}(t) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u); \sup_{s\in B_{j}} \overline{\eta}_{u}(s) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)\right) \leq$$

$$\leq 2N_{u}^{(T)} \mathcal{H}_{\eta,\eta}(\Lambda^{5}T) \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(f(T))}{8}\right) \Psi(\sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u))(1+o(1))$$

$$+2N_{u}^{(T)} \mathcal{H}_{\eta}(f(T)) \Psi(\sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u))(1+o(1)). \tag{5.16}$$

Combining (5.15) with (5.16) we obtain for  $T \geq 1$ 

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t \in [0,\sigma_{\eta}(u)]} \overline{\eta}_{u}(t) > \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u)\right)}{\sigma_{\eta}(u)\Psi(\sqrt{2}\sigma_{\eta}(u))} \geq \\
\geq \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(T)}{T} - 2\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta,\eta}(\Lambda^{5}T)}{T} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(f(T))}{8}\right) - 2\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(f(T))}{T} - \\
-2\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta,\eta}(\Lambda^{5}T)}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(kT)}{8}\right). \tag{5.17}$$

Hence, using sub-additivity of  $\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(\cdot)$ , comparing (5.17) with (5.11) and taking  $S \to \infty$  we have that for each  $T \ge 1$ 

$$\mathcal{H}_{\eta} \geq \frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(T)}{T} - 2\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta,\eta}(\Lambda^{5}T)}{T} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(f(T))}{8}\right) - 2\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(f(T))}{T} - \frac{2\mathcal{H}_{\eta,\eta}(\Lambda^{5}T)}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}(kT)}{8}\right). \tag{5.18}$$

Moreover, due to the fact that  $\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(\cdot)$  is subadditive, we have  $\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\eta}(T)}{T} - \mathcal{H}_{\eta} \geq 0$ , which combined with (5.18) completes the proof.

#### References

- [1] Bräker, H.U. (1993) High boundary excursions of locally stationary Gaussian processes. Ph. D. thesis, University of Bern.
- [2] Dębicki, K (2002) Ruin probabilities for Gaussian integrated processes. Stoch. Proc. Appl. 98, 151-174.
- [3] Dębicki, K., Michna, Z. & Rolski, T. (2001) Simulation of the asymptotic constant in some fluid models, Manuscript.
- [4] Michna, Z. (1999) On tail probabilities and first passage times for fractional Brownian motion. *Math. Methods Oper. Res.* **49**, no. 2, 335–354.
- [5] Pickands, J. III (1969) Asymptotic properties of the maximum in a stationary Gaussian process. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145**, 75-86.
- [6] Piterbarg, V.I. Asymptotic Methods in the Theory of Gaussian Processes and Fields. Translations of Mathematical Monographs 148, AMS, Providence.
- [7] Shao, Q. (1996) Bounds and estimators of a basic constant in extreme value theory of Gaussian processes. *Statistica Sinica* 6, 245–257.