AN INDISPENSABLE CLASSIFICATION OF MONOMIAL CURVES IN $\mathbb{A}^4(\Bbbk)$

ANARGYROS KATSABEKIS AND IGNACIO OJEDA

ABSTRACT. In this paper a new classification of monomial curves in $\mathbb{A}^4(\Bbbk)$ is given. Our classification relies on the detection of those binomials and monomials that have to appear in every system of binomial generators of the defining ideal of the monomial curve; these special binomials and monomials are called indispensable in the literature. This way to proceed has the advantage of producing a natural necessary and sufficient condition for the defining ideal of a monomial curve in $\mathbb{A}^4(\Bbbk)$ to have a unique minimal system of binomial generators. Furthermore, some other interesting results on more general classes of binomial ideals with unique minimal system of binomial generators are obtained.

INTRODUCTION

Let $\Bbbk[\mathbf{x}] := \Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in n variables over a field $\Bbbk$. As usual, we will denote by $\mathbf{x^u}$ the monomial $x_1^{u_1} \cdots x_n^{u_n}$ of $\Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]$, with $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, where $\mathbb{N}$ stands for the set of non-negative integers. Recall that a pure difference binomial ideal is an ideal of $\Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]$ generated by differences of monic monomials. Examples of pure difference binomial ideals are the toric ideals. Indeed, let $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ and consider the semigroup homomorphism $\pi : \Bbbk[\mathbf{x}] \to \Bbbk[\mathcal{A}] := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} \Bbbk\, \mathbf{t^a}; \ x_i \mapsto \mathbf{t^{a_i}}$. The kernel of π is denoted by $I_\mathcal{A}$ and called the toric ideal of $\mathcal{A}$. Notice that the toric ideal $I_\mathcal{A}$ is generated by all the binomials $\mathbf{x^u} - \mathbf{x^v}$ such that $\pi(\mathbf{x^u}) = \pi(\mathbf{x^v})$, see, for example, [21, Lemma 4.1].

Defining ideals of monomial curves in the affine n-dimensional space $\mathbb{A}^n(\Bbbk)$ serve as interesting examples of toric ideals. Of particular interest is to compute and describe a minimal generating set for such an ideal. In [10] Herzog provides a minimal system of generators for the defining ideal of a monomial space curve. The case $n = 4$ was treated by Bresinsky in [4], where Gröbner bases techniques have been used to obtain a minimal generating set of the ideal.

A recent topic arising in Algebraic Statistics is to study the problem when a toric ideal has a unique minimal system of binomial generators, see [5], [18]. To deal with this problem, Ohsugi and Hibi introduced in [14] the notion of indispensable binomials, while Aoki, Takemura and Yoshida introduced in [2] the notion of indispensable monomials. The problem was considered for the case of defining ideals of monomial curves in [9]. Although this work offers useful information, the classification of the ideals having a unique minimal system of binomial generators remains an unsolved problem for $n \geq 4$. For monomial space curves Herzog's result provides an explicit classification of those defining ideals satisfying the above property. The aim of this work is to classify all defining ideals of monomial curves

in $\mathbb{A}^4(\Bbbk)$ having a unique minimal system of generators. Our approach is inspired by the classification made by Pilar Pisón in her unpublished thesis.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 1 we study indispensable monomials and binomials of a pure difference binomial ideal. We provide a criterion for checking whether a monomial is indispensable, see Theorem 1.9, and also a sufficient condition for a binomial to be indispensable, see Theorem 1.10. As an application we prove that the binomial edge ideal of an undirected simple graph has a unique minimal system of binomial generators. Section 2 is devoted to special classes of binomial ideals contained in the defining ideal of a monomial curve. Corollary 2.5 underlines the significance of the critical ideal in the investigation of our problem. Theorem 2.12 and Proposition 2.13 provide necessary and sufficient conditions for a circuit to be indispensable of the toric ideal, while Corollary 2.16 will be particularly useful in the next section. In section 3 we study defining ideals of monomial curves in $\mathbb{A}^4(\Bbbk)$. Theorem 3.5 carries out a thorough analysis of a minimal generating set of the critical ideal. This analysis is used to derive a minimal generating set for the defining ideal of the monomial curve, see Theorem 3.8. As a consequence we obtain the desired classification, see Theorem 3.9. Finally we prove that the defining ideal of a Gorenstein monomial curve in $\mathbb{A}^4(\Bbbk)$ has a unique minimal system of binomial generators, under the hypothesis that the ideal is not a complete intersection.

1. Generalities on indispensable monomials and binomials

Let $\Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]$ be the polynomial ring over a field $\Bbbk$. The following result is folklore, but for a lack of reference we sketch a proof.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $J \subset \Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]$ be a pure difference binomial ideal. There exist a positive integer d and a vector configuration $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that the toric ideal $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a minimal prime of J.*

Proof. By [7, Corollary 2.5], $\left(J : (x_1 \cdots x_n)^\infty\right)$ is a lattice ideal. More precisely, if $\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}} \in J\}$, then

$$\left(J : (x_1 \cdots x_n)^\infty\right) = \langle \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}} \mid \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{L} \rangle =: I_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

Now, by [7, Corollary 2.2], the only minimal prime of $I_{\mathcal{L}}$ which is a pure difference binomial ideal is $I_{\mathrm{Sat}(\mathcal{L})} := \langle \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}} \mid \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} \in \mathrm{Sat}(\mathcal{L}) \rangle$, where $\mathrm{Sat}(\mathcal{L}) := \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid z\,\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{L} \text{ for some } z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Since $\mathbb{Z}^n / \mathrm{Sat}(\mathcal{L}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$, for $d = n - \mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{L})$, then $\mathbf{e}_i + \mathrm{Sat}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathbf{a}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, for every $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and hence the toric ideal of $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_n\}$ is equal to $I_{\mathrm{Sat}(\mathcal{L})}$ (see [21, Lemma 12.2]).

Finally, in order to see that $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a minimal prime of J, it suffices to note that $J \subseteq P$ implies $\left(J : (x_1 \cdots x_n)^\infty\right) \subseteq P$, for every prime ideal P of $\Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]$. $\qquad\square$

Remark 1.2. Observe that if $J = \langle \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}_j} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}_j} \mid j = 1, \ldots, s \rangle$, then $\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{v}_j \mid j = 1, \ldots, s\}$. So, it is easy to see that, in general, $J \neq I_{\mathcal{L}}$. For example, if $J = \langle x - y, z - t, y^2 - yt \rangle$, then $I_{\mathcal{L}} = \langle x - t, y - t, z - t \rangle$.

Given a vector configuration $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, we grade $\Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]$ by setting $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}(x_i) = \mathbf{a}_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. We define the $\mathcal{A}$−degree of a monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}$ to be

$$\deg_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}) = u_1 \mathbf{a}_1 + \ldots + u_n \mathbf{a}_n.$$

A polynomial $f \in \Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]$ is $\mathcal{A}$−homogeneous if the $\mathcal{A}$−degrees of all the monomials that occur in f are the same. An ideal $J \subset \Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]$ is $\mathcal{A}$−homogeneous if it is generated by $\mathcal{A}$−homogeneous polynomials. Notice that the toric ideal $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is $\mathcal{A}$−homogeneous; indeed, by [21, Lemma 4.1], a binomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ if and only if it is $\mathcal{A}$−homogeneous.

The proof of the following result is straightforward.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $J \subset \Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]$ be a pure difference binomial ideal and let $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$. Then J is $\mathcal{A}-$homogeneous if and only if $J \subseteq I_\mathcal{A}$.*

Notice that the finest $\mathcal{A}-$grading on $\Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]$ such that a pure difference binomial ideal $J \subset \Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]$ is $\mathcal{A}-$homogeneous occurs when $I_\mathcal{A}$ is a minimal prime of J. Such an $\mathcal{A}-$grading does always exist by Theorem 1.1. Ideals with finest $\mathcal{A}-$grading are studied in much greater generality in [12]. An $\mathcal{A}-$grading on $\Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]$ such that a pure difference binomial ideal $J \subset \Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]$ is $\mathcal{A}-$homogeneous is said to be positive if the quotient ring $\Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]/I_\mathcal{A}$ does not contain invertible elements or, equivalently, if the monoid $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}$ is free of units.

The $\mathcal{A}-$degrees of the polynomials appearing in any minimal system of $\mathcal{A}$-homogeneous generators of $I_\mathcal{A}$ do not depend on the system of generators: it is well known that *the number of polynomials of $\mathcal{A}-$degree $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}$ in a minimal system of $\mathcal{A}$-homogeneous generators is* $\dim_\Bbbk \operatorname{Tor}_1^R(\Bbbk, \Bbbk[\mathcal{A}])_\mathbf{b}$ (see, e.g. [21, Chapter 12]). Thus, we say that $I_\mathcal{A}$ has minimal generators in degree $\mathbf{b}$ when $\dim_\Bbbk \operatorname{Tor}_1^R(\Bbbk, \Bbbk[\mathcal{A}])_\mathbf{b} \neq 0$. In this case, if $f \in I_\mathcal{A}$ has degree $\mathbf{b}$ we say that f is a **minimal generator** of $I_\mathcal{A}$.

From now on, let $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ be such that the quotient ring $\Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]/I_\mathcal{A}$ does not contain invertible elements and let $J \subset \Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]$ an $\mathcal{A}-$homogeneous pure difference binomial ideal.

Definition 1.4. A **binomial** $f = \mathbf{x}^\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{x}^\mathbf{v} \in J$ is called **indispensable** of J if every system of binomial generators of J contains f or $-f$, while a **monomial** $\mathbf{x}^\mathbf{u}$ is called **indispensable** of J if every system of binomial generators of J contains a binomial f such that $\mathbf{x}^\mathbf{u}$ is a monomial of f.

In the following we will write M_J for the monomial ideal generated by all $\mathbf{x}^\mathbf{u}$ for which there exists a nonzero $\mathbf{x}^\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{x}^\mathbf{v} \in J$.

The next proposition is the natural generalization of [5, Proposition 3.1], but for completeness, we give a proof.

Proposition 1.5. *The indispensable monomials of J are precisely the minimal generators of M_J.*

Proof. Let $\{f_1, \ldots, f_s\}$ be a system of binomial generators of J. Clearly, the monomials of the f_i, $i = 1, \ldots, s$, generate M_J. Let $\mathbf{x}^\mathbf{u}$ be a minimal generator of M_J. Then $\mathbf{x}^\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{x}^\mathbf{v} \in J$, for some nonzero $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Now, the minimality of $\mathbf{x}^\mathbf{u}$ assures that $\mathbf{x}^\mathbf{u}$ is a monomial of f_j for some j. Therefore every minimal generator of M_J is an indispensable monomial of J. Conversely, let $\mathbf{x}^\mathbf{u}$ be an indispensable monomial of J. If $\mathbf{x}^\mathbf{u}$ is not a minimal generator of M_J, then there is a minimal generator $\mathbf{x}^\mathbf{w}$ of M_J such that $\mathbf{x}^\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{x}^\mathbf{w}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}'}$ with $\mathbf{u}' \neq \mathbf{0}$. By the previous argument $\mathbf{x}^\mathbf{w}$ is an indispensable monomial of J, hence without loss of generality we may suppose that $f_k = \mathbf{x}^\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{x}^\mathbf{z}$ for some k. Thus, if $f_j = \mathbf{x}^\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{x}^\mathbf{v}$, then

$$f_j' = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}'}\mathbf{x}^\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x}^\mathbf{v} = f_j - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}'}f_k \in J$$

and therefore we can replace f_j by f_j' in $\{f_1, \ldots, f_s\}$. By repeating this argument as many times as necessary, we will find a system of binomial generators of J such that no element has $\mathbf{x}^\mathbf{u}$ as monomial, a contradiction to the fact that $\mathbf{x}^\mathbf{u}$ is indispensable. $\square$

Corollary 1.6. *If $\mathbf{x}^\mathbf{u} \in M_J$ is an indispensable monomial of $I_\mathcal{A}$, then it is also an indispensable monomial of J.*

Proof. It suffices to note that $M_J \subseteq M_{I_\mathcal{A}}$ by Corollary 1.3. $\square$

Now, we will give a combinatorial necessary and sufficient condition for a monomial $\mathbf{x}^\mathbf{u} \in \Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]$ to be indispensable of J.

Definition 1.7. For every $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}$ we define the graph $G_{\mathbf{b}}(J)$ whose vertices are the monomials of M_J of $\mathcal{A}-$degree $\mathbf{b}$ and two vertices $\mathbf{x^u}$ and $\mathbf{x^v}$ are joined by an edge if

(a) $\gcd(\mathbf{x^u}, \mathbf{x^v}) \neq 1$;
(b) There exists a monomial $1 \neq \mathbf{x^w}$ dividing $\gcd(\mathbf{x^u}, \mathbf{x^v})$ such that the binomial $\mathbf{x^{u-w}} - \mathbf{x^{v-w}}$ belongs to J.

Notice that $G_{\mathbf{b}}(J) = \varnothing$ exactly when M_J has no element of $\mathcal{A}-$degree $\mathbf{b}$; in particular, $G_{\mathbf{b}}(J) = \varnothing$ if $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$, because $1 \notin M_J$ (otherwise, $\Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]/I_{\mathcal{A}}$ would contain invertible elements). Moreover, since $J \subseteq I_{\mathcal{A}}$, we have that $G_{\mathbf{b}}(J)$ is a subgraph of $G_{\mathbf{b}}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$, for all $\mathbf{b}$. Finally, we observe that condition (b) is trivially fulfilled for $J = I_{\mathcal{A}}$ because $\left(I_{\mathcal{A}} : (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{\infty}\right) = I_{\mathcal{A}}$, in this case, if $G_{\mathbf{b}}(J) \neq \varnothing$, the graph $G_{\mathbf{b}}(J)$ is nothing but the $1-$skeleton of the simplicial complex $\nabla_{\mathbf{b}}$ appearing in [18]. Thus, we have the following:

Theorem 1.8. *Let* $\mathbf{x^u} - \mathbf{x^v} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ *be a binomial of* $\mathcal{A}-$*degree* $\mathbf{b}$. *Then,* f *is a minimal generator of* $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ *if and only if* $\mathbf{x^u}$ *and* $\mathbf{x^v}$ *lie in two different connected components of* $G_{\mathbf{b}}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$, *in particular, the graph is disconnected.*

Proof. For a proof see, for example, [17, Section 2]. $\qquad\square$

The next theorem provides a necessary and sufficient condition for a monomial to be indispensable of J.

Theorem 1.9. *A monomial* $\mathbf{x^u}$ *is indispensable of* J *if and only if* $\{\mathbf{x^u}\}$ *is connected component of* $G_{\mathbf{b}}(J)$ *where* $\mathbf{b} = \deg_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x^u})$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathbf{x^u}$ is an indispensable monomial of J and $\{\mathbf{x^u}\}$ is not a connected component of $G_{\mathbf{b}}(J)$. Then, there exists $\mathbf{x^v} \in M_J$ with $\mathcal{A}-$degree equals $\mathbf{b}$ such that $\gcd(\mathbf{x^u}, \mathbf{x^v}) \neq 1$ and $\mathbf{x^{u-w}} - \mathbf{x^{v-w}} \in J$, where $1 \neq \mathbf{x^w}$ divides $\gcd(\mathbf{x^u}, \mathbf{x^v})$. So $\mathbf{x^{u-w}} \in M_J$ and properly divides $\mathbf{x^u}$, a contradiction to the fact that $\mathbf{x^u}$ is a minimal generator of M_J (see Proposition 1.5). Conversely, we assume that $\{\mathbf{x^u}\}$ is connected component of $G_{\mathbf{b}}(J)$ with $\mathbf{b} = \deg_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x^u})$ and that $\mathbf{x^u}$ is not an indispensable monomial of J. Then, by Proposition 1.5, there exists a binomial $f = \mathbf{x^w} - \mathbf{x^z} \in J$, such that $\mathbf{x^w}$ properly divides $\mathbf{x^u}$. Let $\mathbf{x^u} = \mathbf{x^w}\mathbf{x^{u'}}$, then $1 \neq \mathbf{x^{u'}}$ divides $\gcd(\mathbf{x^u}, \mathbf{x^{u'}}\mathbf{x^z})$ and hence $(\mathbf{x^u} - \mathbf{x^{u'}}\mathbf{x^z})/(\mathbf{x^{u'}}) = f \in J$. Thus, $\{\mathbf{x^u}, \mathbf{x^{u'}}\mathbf{x^z}\}$ is an edge of $G_{\mathbf{b}}(J)$, a contradiction to the fact that $\{\mathbf{x^u}\}$ is a connected component of $G_{\mathbf{b}}(J)$. $\qquad\square$

Now, we are able to give a sufficient condition for a binomial to be indispensable of J by using our graphs $G_{\mathbf{b}}(J)$.

Theorem 1.10. *Given* $\mathbf{x^u} - \mathbf{x^v} \in J$ *and let* $\mathbf{b} = \deg_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x^u})$ $\left(= \deg_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x^v})\right)$. *If* $G_{\mathbf{b}}(J) = \left\{\{\mathbf{x^u}\}, \{\mathbf{x^v}\}\right\}$, *then* $\mathbf{x^u} - \mathbf{x^v}$ *is an indispensable binomial of* J.

Proof. Assume that $G_{\mathbf{b}}(J) = \left\{\{\mathbf{x^u}\}, \{\mathbf{x^v}\}\right\}$. Then, by Theorem 1.9, both $\mathbf{x^u}$ and $\mathbf{x^v}$ are indispensable monomials of J. Let $\{f_1, \ldots, f_s\}$ be a system of binomial generators of J. Since $\mathbf{x^u}$ is an indispensable monomial, $f_i = \mathbf{x^u} - \mathbf{x^w} \neq 0$, for some i. Thus $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x^u}) = \deg_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x^w})$ and therefore $\mathbf{x^w}$ is a vertex of $G_{\mathbf{b}}(J)$. Consequently, $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v}$ and we conclude that $\mathbf{x^u} - \mathbf{x^v}$ is an indispensable binomial of J. $\qquad\square$

The converse of the above proposition is not true in general: consider for instance the ideal $J = \langle x - y, y^2 - yt, z - t \rangle = \langle x - t, y - t, z - t \rangle \cap \langle x, y, z - t \rangle$, then J is $\mathcal{A}$-homogeneous for $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 1, 1, 1\}$. Both $x-y$ and $z-t$ are indispensable binomials of J, while $G_{\mathbf{1}}(J) = \left\{\{x\}, \{y\}, \{z\}, \{t\}\right\}$.

Corollary 1.11. *If* $f = \mathbf{x^u} - \mathbf{x^v} \in J$ *is an indispensable binomial of* $I_{\mathcal{A}}$, *then* f *is an indispensable binomial of* J.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{b} = \deg_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x^u}) \left(= \deg_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x^v}) \right)$. By [18, Corollary 7], if $\mathbf{x^u} - \mathbf{x^v}$ is an indispensable binomial of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$, then $G_{\mathbf{b}}(I_{\mathcal{A}}) = \left\{ \{\mathbf{x^u}\}, \{\mathbf{x^v}\} \right\}$. Since $\mathbf{x^u}$ and $\mathbf{x^v}$ are vertices of $G_{\mathbf{b}}(J)$ and $G_{\mathbf{b}}(J)$ is a subgraph of $G_{\mathbf{b}}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$, then $G_{\mathbf{b}}(J) = G_{\mathbf{b}}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$ and therefore, by Theorem 1.10, we conclude that $\mathbf{x^u} - \mathbf{x^v}$ is an indispensable binomial of J. $\qquad\square$

Again we have that the converse is not true; for instance, $x - y$ and $z - t$ are indispensable binomials of $J = \langle x - y, y^2 - yt, z - t \rangle$ and none of them is indispensable of the toric ideal $I_{\mathcal{A}}$.

We close this section by applying our results to show that the binomial edge ideals introduced in [11] have unique minimal system of binomial generators.

Let G be an undirected connected simple graph of the vertex set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and let $\Bbbk[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ be the polynomial ring in $2n$ variables, $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n$, over $\Bbbk$.

Definition 1.12. The binomial edge ideal $J_G \subset \Bbbk[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ associated to G is the ideal generated by the binomials $f_{ij} = x_i y_j - x_j y_i$, with $i < j$, such that $\{i, j\}$ is an edge of G.

Let $J_G \subset \Bbbk[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ be the binomial edge ideal associated to G. By definition, J_G is contained in the determinantal ideal generated by the $2 \times 2-$minors of

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ y_1 & \cdots & y_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

This ideal is nothing but the toric ideal associated to the Lawrence lifting, $\Lambda(\mathcal{A})$, of $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \ldots, 1\}$ (see, e.g. [21, Chapter 7]). Thus, $J_G \subseteq I_{\Lambda(\mathcal{A})}$ and the equality holds if and only if G is the complete graph on n vertices. By the way, since G is connected, the smallest toric ideal containing J_G has codimension $n - 1$. So, the smallest toric ideal containing J_G is $I_{\Lambda(\mathcal{A})}$, that is to say, $\Lambda(\mathcal{A})$ is the finest grading on $\Bbbk[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ such that J_G is $\Lambda(\mathcal{A})-$homogeneous.

Corollary 1.13. *The binomial edge ideal J_G has unique minimal system of binomial generators.*

Proof. By [18, Corollary 16], the toric ideal $I_{\Lambda(\mathcal{A})}$ is generated by its indispensable binomials, thus every $f_{ij} \in J_G$, is an indispensable binomial of $I_{\Lambda(\mathcal{A})}$. Now, by Corollary 1.11, we conclude that J_G is generated by its indispensable binomials. $\quad\square$

The above result can be viewed as a particular case of the following general result whose proof is also straightforward consequence of [18, Corollary 16] and Corollary 1.11.

Corollary 1.14. *Let $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ be such that the monoid $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}$ is free of units. If $J \subseteq \Bbbk[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ is a binomial ideal generated by a subset of the minimal system of binomial generators of $I_{\Lambda(\mathcal{A})}$, then J has unique minimal system of binomial generators.*

2. Critical binomials, circuits and primitive binomials

This section deals with binomial ideals contained in the defining ideal of a monomial curve. Special attention should be paid to the critical ideal; this is due to the fact that the ideal of a monomial space curve is equal to the critical ideal, see [10]. Throughout this section $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ is a set of relatively prime positive integers and $I_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \Bbbk[\mathbf{x}] = \Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is the defining ideal of the monomial curve $x_1 = t^{a_1}, \ldots, x_n = t^{a_n}$ in the $n-$dimensional affine space over $\Bbbk$.

2.1. **Critical binomials.**

Definition 2.1. A binomial $x_i^{c_i} - \prod_{j \neq i} x_j^{u_{ij}} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is called **critical** with respect to x_i if c_i is the least positive integer such that $c_i a_i \in \sum_{j \neq i} \mathbb{N}a_j$. The **critical ideal** of $\mathcal{A}$, denoted by $C_{\mathcal{A}}$, is the ideal of $\Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]$ generated by all the critical binomials of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Observe that the critical ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ is $\mathcal{A}-$homogeneous.

Notation 2.2. From now on and for the rest of the paper, we will write c_i for the least positive integer such that $c_i a_i \in \sum_{j \neq i} \mathbb{N}a_j$, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proposition 2.3. *The monomials $x_i^{c_i}$ are indispensable of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$, for every i. Equivalently, $\{x_i^{c_i}\}$ is a connected component of $G_b(I_{\mathcal{A}})$, where $b = c_i a_i$, for every i.*

Proof. The proof follows immediately from Theorem 1.8 and Theorem 1.9.   □

The next proposition determines the indispensable critical binomials of the toric ideal.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $f = x_i^{c_i} - \prod_{j \neq i} x_j^{u_{ij}}$ be a critical binomial of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$, then f is indispensable of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ if, and only if, f is indispensable of $C_{\mathcal{A}}$.*

Proof. By Corollary 1.11, we have that if f is indispensable of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ then it is indispensable of $C_{\mathcal{A}}$. Conversely, assume that f is indispensable of $C_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ be a system of binomial generators of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ not containing f. Then, by Proposition 2.3, $f_l = x_i^{c_i} - \prod_{j \neq i} x_j^{v_j}$ for some l. So, f_l is a critical binomial, that is to say, $f_l \in C_{\mathcal{A}}$. Therefore, we may replace f by f_l and $f - f_l \in C_{\mathcal{A}}$ in a system of binomial generators of $C_{\mathcal{A}}$, a contradiction to the fact that f is indispensable of $C_{\mathcal{A}}$.   □

Corollary 2.5. *If $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ has unique minimal system of binomial generators, then $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ does also has.*

Proof. The monomials $x_i^{c_i}$ are indispensable of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$, for each i (see Proposition 2.3). Thus, for every i, there exists a unique binomial in $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ of the form $x_i^{c_i} - \prod_{j \neq i} x_j^{u_{ij}}$ and we conclude that $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ has unique minimal system of binomial generators.   □

Example 2.6. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{4, 6, 2a + 1, 2a + 3\}$ where a is a natural number. For $a = 0$, it is easy to see that $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ does not have a unique minimal system of binomial generators. If $a \geq 1$, then $x_4^2 - x_1^a x_2$ and $x_4^2 - x_1 x_3^2 \in C_{\mathcal{A}}$. Thus $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ is not generated by its indispensable and therefore $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ does not have a unique minimal system of binomial generators.

2.2. **Circuits.**

Recall that the support of a monomial $\mathbf{x^u}$ is defined to be the set $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{x^u}) = \{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid u_i \neq 0\}$. The support of a binomial $f = \mathbf{x^u} - \mathbf{x^v} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$, denoted by $\mathrm{supp}(f)$, is defined as the union $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{x^u}) \cup \mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{x^v})$. We say that f has full support when $\mathrm{supp}(f) = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Definition 2.7. An irreducible binomial $\mathbf{x^u} - \mathbf{x^v} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is called a **circuit** if its support is minimal with respect the inclusion.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $u_j(i) = \frac{a_i}{\gcd(a_i, a_j)}$, $i \neq j$. The set of circuits in $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is equal to*

$$\{x_i^{u_i(j)} - x_j^{u_j(i)} \mid i \neq j\}.$$

Proof. See [21, Chapter 4]   □

The next theorem provides a class of toric ideals generated by critical binomials that, moreover, are circuits.

Theorem 2.9. *If* $C_{\mathcal{A}} = \langle x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{c_2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}^{c_{n-1}} - x_n^{c_n}\rangle$, *then* $C_{\mathcal{A}} = I_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proof. From the hypothesis the binomial $x_i^{c_i} - x_{i+1}^{c_{i+1}}$ belongs to $I_{\mathcal{A}}$, for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, so every circuit of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is of the form $x_k^{c_k} - x_l^{c_l}$, since $\gcd(c_k, c_l) = 1$. Now, from Proposition 2.2 in [1], the lattice $L = \ker_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^n | u_1 a_1 + \ldots + u_n a_n = 0\}$ is generated by $\{c_i \mathbf{e}_i - c_j \mathbf{e}_j \mid 1 \le i \le j \le n\}$, where $\mathbf{e}_i$ is the vector with 1 in the i−th position and zeroes elsewhere. The rank of L equals $n-1$ and a lattice basis is $\{\mathbf{v}_i = c_i \mathbf{e}_i - c_{i+1} \mathbf{e}_{i+1} \mid 1 \le i \le n-1\}$. Thus $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a lattice basis ideal. Let M be the matrix with rows $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{n-1}$, then M is a mixed dominating matrix and therefore, from Theorem 2.9 in [8], the equality $C_{\mathcal{A}} = I_{\mathcal{A}}$ holds. $\square$

Remarks 2.10.
(1) For $n = 4$, a different proof of the above result can be found in [3].
(2) The converse of Theorem 2.9 is not true in general (see, e.g., [1]).
(3) If every critical binomial of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a circuit and the critical ideal has codimension $n - 1$, then $c_i a_i = c_j a_j$, for every $i \ne j$. In particular, all minimal generators of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ have the same $\mathcal{A}$−degree. This situation is explored in some detail in [20] from a semigroup viewpoint.

The rest of this subsection is devoted to the investigation of necessary and sufficient conditions for a circuit to be indispensable of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Lemma 2.11. *Let* $f = x_i^{u_i(j)} - x_j^{u_j(i)} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ *be a circuit and let* $b = u_i(j)a_i$. *Then there is no monomial* $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$ *in the fiber* $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(b)$ *such that* $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}) = \{i, j\}$.

Proof. Let us suppose the opposite. Then, there exist $x_i^{v_i} x_j^{v_j} \in \deg_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(b)$, that is to say, $a_i u_i(j) = a_j u_j(i) = a_i v_i + a_j v_j$. We have that $a_j = u_i(j) \cdot \gcd(a_i, a_j)$ and therefore $u_i(j)$ divides a_j. Moreover no prime factor of $u_i(j)$ divides a_i, so we conclude that either $u_i(j)$ divides v_i or $v_i = 0$. Similarly, we obtain that either $v_j = 0$ or $u_j(i)$ divides v_j. Hence, either $v_i = u_i(j)$ and $v_j = 0$ or $v_j = u_j(i)$ and $v_i = 0$ and we are done. $\square$

Theorem 2.12. *Let* $f = x_i^{u_i(j)} - x_j^{u_j(i)} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ *be a circuit and let* $b = u_i(j)a_i$. *Then,* f *is indispensable of* $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ *if, and only if,* $b - a_k \notin \mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}$, *for every* $k \ne i, j$. *In particular,* $u_i(j) = c_i$ *and* $u_j(i) = c_j$.

Proof. First of all, we observe that $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(b) \supseteq \{x_i^{u_i(j)}, x_j^{u_j(i)}\}$ and equality holds if, and only if, f is indispensable. So, the "only if" condition follows. Conversely, since $b \notin \sum_{k \ne i,j} \mathbb{N}a_k$, the supports of the monomials in $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(b)$ are included in $\{i, j\}$ and then, by Lemma 2.11, we are done. $\square$

Observe that from the above result it follows that *if a circuit is indispensable, then it is a critical binomial.*

Let $\prec_{ij}$ be an $\mathcal{A}$−graded reverse lexicographical monomial order on $\Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]$ such that $x_k \prec_{ij} x_i$ and $x_k \prec_{ij} x_j$ for every $k \ne i, j$.

Proposition 2.13. *Let* $f = x_i^{u_i(j)} - x_j^{u_j(i)} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ *be a circuit. Then,* f *is indispensable of* $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ *if, and only if, it belongs to the reduced Gröbner basis of* $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ *with respect to* $\prec_{ij}$.

Proof. If f is indispensable, then, from Theorem 13 in [18], it belongs to every Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$. Now, suppose that f belongs to the reduced Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ with respect to $\prec_{ij}$ and it is not indispensable. Since f is not indispensable, there exists a monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}$ in the fiber of $u_i(j)a_i$ different from $x_i^{u_i(j)}$ and $x_j^{u_j(i)}$. By Lemma 2.11, we have that $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}) \not\subset \{i, j\}$, so there is $k \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}})$ and $k \notin \{i, j\}$. Hence, both $f_i = x_i^{u_i(j)} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}$ and $f_j = x_j^{u_j(i)} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}$ belong to $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ with

$\text{in}_{\prec_{ij}}(f_i) = x_i^{u_i(j)}$ and $\text{in}_{\prec_{ij}}(f_j) = x_j^{u_j(i)}$. So, we conclude that $f = x_i^{u_i(j)} - x_j^{u_j(i)} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is not in the reduced Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ with respect to $\prec_{ij}$, a contradiction. $\quad\square$

2.3. Primitive binomials.

Definition 2.14. A binomial $\mathbf{x^u} - \mathbf{x^v} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is called **primitive** if there exists no other binomial $\mathbf{x^{u'}} - \mathbf{x^{v'}}$ such that $\mathbf{x^{u'}}$ divides $\mathbf{x^u}$ and $\mathbf{x^{v'}}$ divides $\mathbf{x^v}$. The set of all primitive binomials is called the Graver basis of $\mathcal{A}$ and it is denoted by $Gr(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 2.15. *Let $f = x_i^{u_i} x_j^{u_j} - x_k^{u_k} x_l^{u_l} \in Gr(\mathcal{A})$ be such that $u_i < c_i, u_j < c_j, u_k < c_k$ and $u_l < c_l$ with i, j, k and l pairwise different. Then f is indispensable of $J = I_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \Bbbk[x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l]$.*

Proof. By [21, Proposition 4.13(a)], $J = I_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \Bbbk[x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l]$ is the toric ideal associated to $\mathcal{A}' = \{a_i, a_j, a_k, a_l\}$. Thus, without loss of generality we may assume $n = 4$, then $J = I_{\mathcal{A}}$. First we will prove that the monomials $x_i^{u_i} x_j^{u_j}$ and $x_k^{u_k} x_l^{u_l}$ are indispensable. By Theorem 1.9 it is enough to prove that $\{x_i^{u_i} x_j^{u_j}\}$ is a connected component of $G_b(I_{\mathcal{A}})$, where $b = u_i a_i + u_j a_j$. Let $\mathbf{x^v} \in \deg_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(b)$ be different from $x_i^{u_i} x_j^{u_j}$ and $x_k^{u_k} x_l^{u_l}$. If $u_i < v_i$, then $x_i^{u_i}(x_j^{u_j} - x_i^{v_i-u_i} x_j^{v_j} x_k^{v_k} x_l^{v_l}) \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$, thus $x_j^{u_j} - x_i^{v_i-u_i} x_j^{v_j} x_k^{v_k} x_l^{v_l} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ which is impossible by the minimality of c_j (see Proposition 2.3). Analogously, we can prove that $u_j \geq v_j, u_k \geq v_k$ and $u_l \geq v_l$. Therefore $x_i^{v_i} x_j^{v_j}(x_i^{u_i-v_i} x_j^{u_j-v_j} - x_k^{v_k} x_l^{v_l}) \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ and so $x_i^{u_i-v_i} x_j^{u_j-v_j} - x_k^{v_k} x_l^{v_l} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$, a contradiction with the fact that f is primitive. The proof that $x_k^{u_k} x_l^{u_l}$ is indispensable is completely analogous. Finally, since $b = \deg_{\mathcal{A}}(x_i^{u_i} x_j^{u_j}) = \deg_{\mathcal{A}}(x_k^{u_k} x_l^{u_l})$, we deduce that there is not a third vertex in $G_b(J)$. Thus $G_b(J) = \{\{x_i^{u_i} x_j^{u_j}\}, \{x_k^{u_k} x_l^{u_l}\}\}$ and, by Theorem 1.10, we are done. $\quad\square$

Corollary 2.16. *Let $f = x_i^{u_i} x_j^{u_j} - x_k^{u_k} x_l^{u_l} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ be such that $u_i < c_i$, $u_j < c_j$, $u_k > 0$ and $u_l > 0$ with i, j, k and l pairwise different. If $x_k^{u_k} x_l^{u_l}$ is indispensable of $J = I_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \Bbbk[x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l]$, then f is indispensable of J.*

Proof. Since, by Theorem 1.9, $\{x_k^{u_k} x_l^{u_l}\}$ is a connected component of $G_b(I_{\mathcal{A}})$, where $b = u_k a_k + u_l a_l$, the monomial $\mathbf{x^v} \in \deg_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(b)$ in the above proof has its support in $\{i, j\}$. Thus, repeating the arguments of the proof of Theorem 2.15, we deduce that $u_i \geq v_i$ and $u_j \geq v_j$. But $x_i^{u_i} x_j^{u_j} - x_i^{v_i} x_j^{v_j} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$, so $u_i a_i + u_j a_j = v_i a_i + v_j a_j$ which implies that $u_i = v_i$ and $u_j = v_j$. By Theorem 1.10 we have that f is indispensable of J. $\quad\square$

Combining Theorem 2.15 with Corollary 1.11 we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.17. *Given i, j, k and $l \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ pairwise different, let J be the ideal of $\Bbbk[x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l]$ generated by all Graver binomials of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ of the form $x_i^{u_i} x_j^{u_j} - x_k^{u_k} x_l^{u_l}$ with $u_i < c_i, u_j < c_j, u_k < c_k$ and $u_l < c_l$. Then J has unique minimal system of binomial generators.*

Finally we provide another class of primitive binomials which are indispensable of a toric ideal.

Corollary 2.18. *Let $f = x_i^{u_i} x_j^{u_j} - x_k^{u_k} x_l^{u_l} \in Gr(\mathcal{A})$ such that $0 < u_i < c_i$ and $0 < u_k < c_k$, for i, j, k and l pairwise different. If $u_i a_i + u_j a_j$ is minimal among all Graver $\mathcal{A}-$degrees, then f is indispensable of $I_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \Bbbk[x_i, x_j, x_l, x_k]$.*

Proof. Since $c_j a_j$ is a Graver $\mathcal{A}-$degree, we have $u_i a_i + u_j a_j \leq c_j a_j$, so it follows $u_j < c_j$. Similarly, we can prove $u_l < c_l$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.15, we conclude that f is indispensable of $I_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \Bbbk[x_i, x_j, x_l, x_k]$. $\quad\square$

3. Classification of monomial curves in $\mathbb{A}^4(\mathbb{k})$

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$ be a set of relatively prime positive integers. First we will provide a minimal system of binomial generators for the critical ideal $C_\mathcal{A}$. This will be done by comparing the $\mathcal{A}$-degrees of the monomials $x_i^{c_i}$, for $i = 1, \ldots, 4$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $f_i = x_i^{c_i} - \prod_{j \neq i} x_j^{u_{ij}}$, $i = 1, \ldots, 4$, *be a set of critical binomials of* $I_\mathcal{A}$ *and let* $g_l \in I_\mathcal{A}$ *be a critical binomial with respect to* x_l. *If* $f_l \neq -f_i$ *for every* i, *then* $g_l \in \langle f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 \rangle$.

Proof. For simplicity we assume $l = 1$. Let $g_1 = x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{v_2} x_3^{v_3} x_4^{v_4} \in I_\mathcal{A}$ be a critical binomial. If $g_1 = f_1$, there is nothing to prove. If $g_1 \neq f_1$, without loss of generality we may assume that $u_{12} > v_2, u_{13} \leq v_3$ and $u_{14} \leq v_4$, so $g_1 - f_1 = m_1 g_2$, with $m_1 = x_2^{v_2} x_3^{u_{13}} x_4^{u_{14}}$ and $g_2 = x_2^{u_{12}-v_2} - x_3^{v_3-u_{13}} x_4^{v_4-u_{14}} \in I_\mathcal{A}$ (in particular $u_{12} - v_2 \geq c_2$). But

$$x_1^{c_1} - x_1^{u_{21}} x_2^{u_{12}-c_2} x_3^{u_{13}+u_{23}} x_4^{u_{14}+u_{24}} = f_1 + m_1 x_2^{u_{12}-v_2-c_2} f_2 \in I_\mathcal{A}$$

and also $f_1 \neq -f_2$, thus from the minimality of c_1 it follows that $u_{21} = 0$, that is to say, $f_2 \in \mathbb{k}[x_2, x_3, x_4]$. Now, by dividing g_2 with f_2, we obtain $h_2 \in \mathbb{k}[x_2, x_3, x_4]$ such that either $g_2 = h_2 f_2$ or $g_2 - h_2 f_2 = m_2 g_3$, with $m_2 = -x_3^{v_3'} x_4^{v_4-u_{14}}, g_3 = x_3^{v_3-u_{13}-v_3'} - x_2^{v_2'} x_4^{v_4-v_4+u_{14}}$ and $v_2' < c_2$, by interchanging the variables x_3 and x_4 if necessary. If $g_2 = h_2 f_2$, then $g_1 = f_1 + m_1 h_2 f_2$ and we are done. In the second case, since

$$x_1^{c_1} - x_1^{u_{31}} x_2^{u_{32}+v_2} x_3^{v_3-c_3} x_4^{u_{34}+v_4} = g_1 - m_1 m_2 x_3^{v_3-u_{13}-v_3'-c_3} f_3 \in I_\mathcal{A}$$

and $f_1 \neq -f_3$, from the minimality of c_1 it follows that $u_{31} = 0$, that is to say, $f_3 \in \mathbb{k}[x_2, x_3, x_4]$. Analogously, by dividing g_3 with f_3, we obtain $h_3 \in \mathbb{k}[x_2, x_3, x_4]$ such that either $g_3 = h_3 f_3$ or $g_3 - h_3 f_3 = m_3 g_4$, with $m_3 = -x_2^{v_2'} x_4^{v_4''}, g_4 = x_4^{v_4'-v_4+u_{14}-v_4''} - x_2^{v_2''-v_2'} x_3^{v_3''}$ and $v_3'' < c_3$. If $g_3 = h_3 f_3$, then $g_1 = f_1 + m_1 h_2 f_2 + m_1 m_2 h_3 f_3$ and we are done. Otherwise, since

$$x_1^{c_1} - x_1^{u_{41}} x_2^{v_2'+v_2+u_{42}} x_3^{v_3'+u_{13}+u_{43}} x_4^{v_4'+u_{14}-c_4} =$$
$$= g_1 - m_1 m_2 g_3 + m_1 m_2 m_3 x_4^{v_4'-v_4+u_{14}-v_4''-c_4} f_4 \in I_\mathcal{A}$$

and $f_1 \neq -f_4$, from the minimality of c_1 it follows that $u_{41} = 0$, that is to say, $f_4 \in \mathbb{k}[x_2, x_3, x_4]$. Therefore, we have that f_2, f_3 and $f_4 \in \mathbb{k}[x_2, x_3, x_4]$. Taking into account that $I_\mathcal{A} \cap \mathbb{k}[x_2, x_3, x_4]$ is generated by f_2, f_3 and f_4 (see, e.g., [21, Proposition 4.13(a)] and [16, Theorem 2.2]), we conclude that $g_2 = g_{21} f_2 + g_{23} f_3 + g_{24} f_4$ and hence $g_1 = f_1 + m_1 g_{21} f_2 + m_1 g_{23} f_3 + m_1 g_{24} f_4$, with $g_{2j} \in \mathbb{k}[x_2, x_3, x_4]$, $j = 1, 3, 4$. $\square$

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $f_i = x_i^{c_i} - \prod_{j \neq i} x_j^{u_{ij}}$, $i = 1, \ldots, 4$, *be a set of critical binomials. If* $f_i \neq -f_j$ *for every* $i \neq j$, *then* $C_\mathcal{A} = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 \rangle$.

Proof. The proof follows directly from Lemma 3.1. $\square$

Observe that $f_i = -f_j$ if and only if $f_i = x_i^{c_i} - x_j^{c_j}$ and $f_j = x_j^{c_j} - x_i^{c_i}$; in particular, they are circuits. The following proposition provides an upper bound for the minimal number of generators of the critical ideal.

Proposition 3.3. *The minimal number of generators* $\mu(C_\mathcal{A})$ *of* $C_\mathcal{A}$ *is less than or equal to four.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \ldots, f_4\} \subset I_\mathcal{A}$ be such that f_i is critical with respect to x_i. If $f_i \neq -f_j$, for every $i \neq j$, then we are done by Proposition 3.2. Otherwise, without loss of generality we may assume $f_1 = -f_2$, that is to say, $f_1 = x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{c_2}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is not a generating set of $C_\mathcal{A}$. We distinguish the following cases: (1) f_1

is indispensable of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then there exists a critical binomial $g \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ with respect to at least one of the variables x_3 and x_4, say x_4, such that $g \neq \pm f_i$, for every i. By substitution of f_4 with g in $\mathcal{F}$ we have, from Lemma 3.1, that every critical binomial with respect to x_3 or x_4 is in the ideal generated by the binomials of $\mathcal{F}$. Consequently the new set $\mathcal{F}$ generates $I_{\mathcal{A}}$.

(2) f_1 is not indispensable of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then there exists a critical binomial $g \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ with respect to at least one of the variables x_1 and x_2, for instance x_2, such that $g \neq \pm f_i$, for every i. We substitute f_2 with g in $\mathcal{F}$. If $f_3 \neq -f_4$, then we have, from Proposition 3.2, that the new set $\mathcal{F}$ generates $I_{\mathcal{A}}$. Otherwise, we substitute f_3 with a critical binomial h with respect to x_3 in $\mathcal{F}$ such that $h \neq \pm f_i$, for every i, when f_3 is not indispensable. So, in this case, $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ is generated by a set of 4 critical binomials. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 3.4. *If $c_i a_i \neq c_k a_k$ and $c_i a_i \neq c_l a_l$, where $k \neq l$, then either the only critical binomial of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ with respect to x_i is $f = x_i^{c_i} - x_j^{c_j}$ or there exists a critical binomial $f \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ with respect to x_i such that $\mathrm{supp}(f)$ has cardinality greater than or equal to three.*

Proof. Suppose the contrary and let $f_i = x_i^{c_i} - x_j^{u_j} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ where $u_j > c_j$. We define $f = x_i^{c_i} - x_i^{v_i} x_j^{u_j - c_j} x_k^{v_k} x_l^{v_l} = f_i + x_j^{u_j - c_j} f_j \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ with $f_j = x_j^{c_j} - x_i^{v_i} x_k^{v_k} x_l^{v_l} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$. Now, from the minimality of c_i it follows that $v_i = 0$, thus at least one of v_k or v_l is different from zero since $f_j \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$. Therefore we conclude that $\mathrm{supp}(f)$ has cardinality greater than or equal to 3, a contradiction. The cases $f_i = x_i^{c_i} - x_k^{u_k} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $f_i = x_i^{c_i} - x_l^{u_l} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ are analogous. $\qquad\square$

Theorem 3.5. *After permuting the variables, if necessary, there exists a minimal system of binomial generators $\mathcal{S}$ of $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ of the following form:*

CASE 1: *If $c_i a_i \neq c_j a_j$, for every $i \neq j$, then $\mathcal{S} = \{x_i^{c_i} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}_i}, \ i = 1, \ldots, 4\}$*

CASE 2: *If $c_1 a_1 = c_2 a_2$ and $c_3 a_3 = c_4 a_4$, then either $c_2 a_2 \neq c_3 a_3$ and*
$\quad$ (a) $\mathcal{S} = \{x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{c_2}, x_2^{c_2} - \mathbf{x}^{u_2}, x_3^{c_3} - x_4^{c_4}, x_4^{c_4} - \mathbf{x}^{u_4}\}$ *when $\mu(C_{\mathcal{A}}) = 4$*
$\quad$ (b) $\mathcal{S} = \{x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{c_2}, x_3^{c_3} - x_4^{c_4}, x_4^{c_4} - \mathbf{x}^{u_4}\}$ *when $\mu(C_{\mathcal{A}}) = 3$*
$\quad$ (c) $\mathcal{S} = \{x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{c_2}, x_3^{c_3} - x_4^{c_4}\}$ *when $\mu(C_{\mathcal{A}}) = 2$*
$\quad$ *or $c_2 a_2 = c_3 a_3$ and*
$\quad$ (d) $\mathcal{S} = \{x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{c_2}, x_2^{c_2} - x_3^{c_3}, x_3^{c_3} - x_4^{c_4}\}$

CASE 3: *If $c_1 a_1 = c_2 a_2 = c_3 a_3 \neq c_4 a_4$, then $\mathcal{S} = \{x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{c_2}, x_2^{c_2} - x_3^{c_3}, x_4^{c_4} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}_4}\}$*

CASE 4: *If $c_1 a_1 = c_2 a_2$ and $c_i a_i \neq c_j a_j$ for all $\{i, j\} \neq \{1, 2\}$, then*
$\quad$ (a) $\mathcal{S} = \{x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{c_2}, x_i^{c_i} - \mathbf{x}^{u_i} \mid i = 2, 3, 4\}$ *when $\mu(C_{\mathcal{A}}) = 4$*
$\quad$ (b) $\mathcal{S} = \{x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{c_2}, x_i^{c_i} - \mathbf{x}^{u_i} \mid i = 3, 4\}$ *when $\mu(C_{\mathcal{A}}) = 3$*

where, in each case, $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}_i}$ denotes an appropriate monomial whose support has cardinality greater than or equal to two.

Proof. First, we observe that our assumption on the cardinality of $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}_i}$ follows from Lemma 3.4. Let J be the ideal generated by $\mathcal{S}$. For the cases 1, 2(a-d), 3 and 4(a), it easily follows that $J = C_{\mathcal{A}}$ by Proposition 3.2. Indeed, in order to satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 3.2, we may take $f_4 = x_4^{c_4} - x_1^{c_1} \in J$ and $f_3 = x_3^{c_3} - x_1^{c_1} \in J$ in the cases 2(d) and 3, respectively. The cases 2(b) and 4(b) happen when the only critical binomials of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ with respect to x_1 and x_2 are $f_1 = x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{c_2}$ and $f_2 = -f_1$, respectively, then our claim follows from Lemma 3.1. Finally, the case 2(c) occurs when the only critical binomials of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ are $\pm(x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{c_2})$ and $\pm(x_3^{c_3} - x_4^{c_4})$, so $J = C_{\mathcal{A}}$ by definition.

On the other hand, since $x_i^{c_i}$ is an indispensable monomial of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$, for every i, by Corollary 1.6, we have that $x_i^{c_i}$ is an indispensable monomial of the ideal J, for every i. Then, we conclude that $\mathcal{S}$ is minimal in the sense that no proper subset of $\mathcal{S}$ generates J. $\qquad\square$

Since $C_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq I_{\mathcal{A}}$, any minimal system of generators of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ can not contain more than 4 critical binomials. This provides an affirmative answer to the question after Corollary 2 in [4]. Notice that the only cases in which $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ can have a unique minimal system of generators are 1, 2(c) and 4(b); in these cases $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ has a unique minimal system of binomial generators if and only if the monomials $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}_i}$ are indispensable.

Now we focus our attention on finding a minimal set of binomial generators of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$, that will help us to solve the classification problem. The following lemma will be useful in the proof of Proposition 3.7 and Theorem 3.8.

Lemma 3.6. *(i) If $f = x_i^{u_i} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$ is a minimal generator of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ which is not critical, then there exists $j \neq i$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}) \cap \{i,j\} = \varnothing$ and $c_i a_i = c_j a_j$. Moreover, if $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$ is not indispensable, then $c_k a_k = c_l a_l$, with $\{i,j,k,l\} = \{1,2,3,4\}$.*
(ii) If $f = x_i^{u_i} x_j^{u_j} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$ is a minimal generator of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ with $u_i \neq 0$ and $u_j \geq c_j$, then $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}) \cap \{i,j\} = \varnothing$ and $c_i a_i = c_j a_j$. In addition, if $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$ is not indispensable, then $c_k a_k = c_l a_l$, with $\{i,j,k,l\} = \{1,2,3,4\}$.

Proof. (i) Let $b = c_i a_i$. Since f is not a critical binomial, we have that $u_i > c_i$. If $c_i a_i \neq c_j a_j$, for every $j \neq i$, then, from lemma 3.4, there exists a critical binomial $f = x_i^{c_i} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}})$ has cardinality greater than or equal to two. If $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}) \cap \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}}) \neq \varnothing$, then $x_i^{u_i} \longleftrightarrow x_i^{u_i - c_i} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$ is a path in $G_b(I_{\mathcal{A}})$, a contradiction to the fact that f is a minimal generator by Theorem 1.8. Assume that $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}) \cap \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}}) = \varnothing$. It is enough to see the case that $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$ is a power of a variable, say $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}} = x_l^{v_l}$. The monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$ is not indispensable, so, from Theorem 1.9, there exists a monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}} \in \deg_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(b)$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}})$ has cardinality greater than or equal to 2 and also $l \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}})$. Then $x_i^{u_i} \longleftrightarrow x_i^{u_i - c_i} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{z}} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$ is a path in $G_b(I_{\mathcal{A}})$, a contradiction. Thus $c_i a_i = c_j a_j$, for an $j \neq i$. We have that $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}) \cap \{i,j\} = \varnothing$; otherwise $x_i^{u_i} \longleftrightarrow x_i^{u_i - c_i} x_j^{c_j} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$ is a path in $G_b(I_{\mathcal{A}})$, a contradiction again.

Finally, if $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$ is not indispensable, then, by Theorem 1.9, there exists a monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}} \in \deg_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(b)$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}}) \cap \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}) \neq \varnothing$. If $j \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}})$, then $x_i^{u_i} \longleftrightarrow x_i^{u_i - c_i} x_j^{c_j} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$ is a path in $G_b(I_{\mathcal{A}})$, a contradiction to the fact that f is a minimal generator. Moreover $i \notin \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}})$, since if $i \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}})$ then $x_i^{u_i} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}} \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$ is a path in $G_b(I_{\mathcal{A}})$. Thus $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}}) \subset \{k,l\}$ and also $x_k^{v_k} x_l^{v_l} - x_k^{w_k} x_l^{w_l} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$. Suppose that $c_k a_k \neq c_l a_l$, then we may assume that for instance $v_k > c_k$. By using similar arguments as in the first part of the proof we arrive at a contradiction. Consequently $c_k a_k = c_l a_l$
(ii) The proof is an easy adaptation of the arguments used in (i). $\qquad \square$

For the rest of this section we keep the same notation as in Theorem 3.5.

The following result was first proved by Bresinsky (see [4, Theorem 3]), but our argument seems to be shorter and more appropriate in our context.

Proposition 3.7. *There exists a minimal system of binomial generators of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ consisting of the union of $\mathcal{S}$ and a set of binomials in $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ with full support.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.6 (i), if for instance $f = x_i^{u_i} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$ is a minimal generator of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ which is not a critical binomial with respect to any variable, then $c_i a_i = c_j a_j$, for $j \neq i$, and also $g = f - x_i^{u_i - c_i}(x_i^{c_i} - x_j^{c_j}) = x_i^{u_i - c_i} x_j^{c_j} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a minimal generator of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$. Moreover, either $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}) = \{k,l\}$ and $\{k,l\} \cap \{i,j\} = \varnothing$, so g has full support, or $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$ is a power of a variable, say $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}} = x_k^{v_k}$, with $v_k > c_k$. In this case, by using again Lemma 3.6 (i), we obtain a minimal generator $h = g + x_k^{v_k - c_k}(x_k^{c_k} - x_l^{c_l}) = x_i^{u_i - c_i} x_j^{c_j} - x_k^{v_k - c_k} x_l^{c_l} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ with $\{k,l\} \cap \{i,j\} = \varnothing$. Hence, there exists a system of generators of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ consisting of the union of a system of binomials generators of $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ and a set $\mathcal{S}'$ of binomials in $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ with full support.

Furthermore, by Theorem 3.5, we may assume that $\mathcal{S}$ is a system of binomials generators of $C_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Now, let $f = x_i^{c_i} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathcal{S}$ and suppose that $f = \sum_{n=1}^{s} g_n f_n$ where every $f_n \in (\mathcal{S} \setminus \{f\}) \cup \mathcal{S}'$. From the minimality of c_i we have that $f_n = \pm(x_i^{c_i} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}})$ and $|g_n| = 1$, for some n. Then, according to the cases in Theorem 3.5, either $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}$ or $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$ is equal to $x_j^{c_j}$, for some $j \neq i$. Now in the above expression of f the term $x_j^{c_j}$ should be canceled, so, from the minimality of c_j, we have $f_m = \pm(x_j^{c_j} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}})$ and $|g_m| = 1$, for an $m \neq n$. Therefore, we conclude that either $\{x_i^{c_i} - x_j^{c_j}, \pm(x_i^{c_i} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}), \pm(x_j^{c_j} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}})\}$ or $\{x_i^{c_i} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}}, \pm(x_i^{c_i} - x_j^{c_j}), \pm(x_j^{c_j} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{w}})\}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{S}$. So, the only possible case is $\mathcal{S} = \{x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{c_2}, x_2^{c_2} - x_3^{c_3}, x_3^{c_3} - x_4^{c_4}\}$. Since, in this case, $I_{\mathcal{A}} = C_{\mathcal{A}}$ by Theorem 2.9, and $\mathcal{S}' = \varnothing$, we are done. □

From the above proposition it follows that $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is generic (see, e.g. [15]) only in the case 1. The next theorem provides a minimal generating set for $I_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Theorem 3.8. *The union of $\mathcal{S}$, the set $\mathcal{I}$ of all binomials $x_{i_1}^{u_{i_1}} x_{i_2}^{u_{i_2}} - x_{i_3}^{u_{i_3}} x_{i_4}^{u_{i_4}} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ with $0 < u_{i_j} < c_j$, $j = 1, 2$, $u_{i_3} > 0$, $u_{i_4} > 0$ and $x_{i_3}^{u_{i_3}} x_{i_4}^{u_{i_4}}$ indispensable, and the set $\mathcal{R}$ of all binomials $x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2} - x_3^{u_3} x_4^{u_4} \in I_{\mathcal{A}} \setminus \mathcal{I}$ with full support such that*

- *$u_1 \leq c_1$ and $x_3^{u_3} x_4^{u_4}$ is indispensable, in the CASES 2(b) and 4(b).*
- *$u_1 \leq c_1$ and/or $u_3 \leq c_3$ and there is no $x_1^{v_1} x_2^{v_2} - x_3^{v_3} x_4^{v_4} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ with full support such that $x_1^{v_1} x_2^{v_2}$ properly divides $x_1^{u_1 + \alpha c_1} x_2^{u_2 - \alpha c_2}$ or $x_3^{v_3} x_4^{v_4}$ properly divides $x_3^{u_3 + \alpha u_3} x_4^{u_4 - \alpha u_4}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, in the CASE 2(c).*

is a minimal system of generators of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ (up to permutation of indices).

Proof. By Proposition 3.7, there exists a minimal system of binomial generators $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}'$ of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $\mathcal{S}$ is a minimal system of generators of $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\text{supp}(f) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, for every $f \in \mathcal{S}'$. Moreover, since all the binomials in the set $\mathcal{I}$ are indispensable by Corollary 2.16, then $\mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{R}$, where $\mathcal{R}$ is a set of binomials of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ of the form $x_{i_1}^{u_{i_1}} x_{i_2}^{u_{i_2}} - x_{i_3}^{u_{i_3}} x_{i_4}^{u_{i_4}}$ with $u_{i_j} \neq 0$, for every j, and $u_{i_j} \geq c_j$ for some j.

Observe that if $\mathcal{R} = \varnothing$, then the set defined in the statement of the theorem coincides with $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}'$ and therefore it is a minimal set of generators. So, we assume that $\mathcal{R} \neq \varnothing$, that is to say, there exists a minimal generator $x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2} - x_3^{u_3} x_4^{u_4} \in \mathcal{R}$ with $u_2 \geq c_2$ (by permuting variables if necessary). By Lemma 3.6 (ii) it holds that $c_1 a_1 = c_2 a_2$, so in CASE 1 we have $\mathcal{R} = \varnothing$ and therefore we are done. Moreover, if $c_2 a_2 = c_i a_i$, for an $i \in \{3, 4\}$, then $x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2} \longleftrightarrow x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2 - c_2} x_i^{c_i} \longleftrightarrow x_3^{u_3} x_4^{u_4}$ is a path in $G_b(I_{\mathcal{A}})$, where $b = u_1 a_1 + u_2 b_2$, a contradiction with Theorem 1.8. Therefore, we conclude that the theorem is also true in CASE 2(d) and CASE 3. Notice that, in the CASES 2(a) and 4(a), we can proceed similarly to reach a contradiction; indeed, since $x_2^{c_2} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathcal{S}$, where $\text{supp}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}) = \{3, 4\}$, then $x_1^{c_1} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ and therefore $x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2} \longleftrightarrow x_1^{u_1 + c_1} x_2^{u_2 - c_2} \longleftrightarrow x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2 - c_2} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}} \longleftrightarrow x_3^{u_3} x_4^{u_4}$ is a path in $G_b(I_{\mathcal{A}})$, a contradiction with Theorem 1.8. Thus $\mathcal{R} = \varnothing$ in CASES 2(a) and 4(a), too.

Suppose now that $x_1^{v_1} x_i^{v_i} - x_2^{v_2} x_j^{v_j} \in \mathcal{R}$. By Lemma 3.6 (ii) again, we obtain that at least one of the equalities $c_1 a_1 = c_i a_i$ and $c_2 a_2 = c_j a_j$ holds. But, as we proved above, these equalities are incompatible with the condition $x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2} - x_3^{u_3} x_4^{u_4} \in \mathcal{R}$ with $u_2 \geq c_2$. Hence, all the binomials in $\mathcal{R}$ are of the form $x_1^{\bullet} x_2^{\bullet} - x_3^{\bullet} x_4^{\bullet}$ and x_2 arises, with exponent greater than or equal to 2, in at least one of them.

We distinguish the following cases:

$\boxed{\text{CASE 2(b) or 4(b).}}$ If there exists $x_1^{v_1} x_2^{v_2} - x_3^{v_3} x_4^{v_4} \in \mathcal{R}$ such that for instance $v_4 \geq c_4$, then $c_3 a_3 = c_4 a_4$ by Lemma 3.6 (ii). This is clearly incompatible with CASES 2(b) and 4(b), since $x_3^{v_3} x_4^{v_4} \longleftrightarrow x_3^{v_3} x_4^{v_4 - c_4} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}_4} \longleftrightarrow x_1^{v_1} x_2^{v_2}$ is a path in $G_d(I_{\mathcal{A}})$, $d = a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2$, a contradiction with Theorem 1.8. Thus the binomials in $\mathcal{R}$ are of the form $x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2} - x_3^{u_3} x_4^{u_4}$ with $u_i < c_i$, $i = 3, 4$. If $x_3^{u_3} x_4^{u_4}$ is

not indispensable, then there exists $x^{\mathbf{v}} - x_3^{v_3} x_4^{v_4} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $0 < v_i \leq u_i$, for $i = 3, 4$, with at least one inequality strict and $\mathrm{supp}(x^{\mathbf{v}}) \subseteq \{1, 2\}$. So, $x_3^{u_3} x_4^{u_4} \longleftrightarrow x_3^{u_3-v_3} x_4^{u_4-v_4} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}} \longleftrightarrow x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2}$ is a path in $G_b(I_{\mathcal{A}})$ where $b = a_3 u_3 + a_4 u_4$, a contradiction with Theorem 1.8. Moreover, since $x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{c_2} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$, we may change, if it is necessary, $\mathcal{R}$ by replacing every binomial $x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2} - x_3^{u_3} x_4^{u_4}$, where $u_1 > c_1$, with $x_1^{u_1-\alpha c_1} x_2^{u_2+\alpha c_2} - x_3^{u_3} x_4^{u_4} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $0 < u_1 - \alpha c_1 \leq c_1$ and $u_2 + \alpha c_2 \geq c_2$. Now the new set $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{R}$ has the desired form. We have that

$$x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2} - x_3^{u_3} x_4^{u_4} = (x_1^{u_1-\alpha c_1} x_2^{u_2+\alpha c_2} - x_3^{u_3} x_4^{u_4}) + x_1^{u_1-\alpha c_1} x_2^{u_2}(x_1^{\alpha c_1} - x_2^{\alpha c_2}),$$

so $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{R}$ is a generating set of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$. To see that this is actually minimal, by indispensability reasons, it suffices to show that if $x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2} - x_3^{u_3} x_4^{u_4} \in \mathcal{R}$ and $x_1^{v_1} x_2^{v_2} - x_3^{u_3} x_4^{u_4} \in \mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{R}$, then $x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2} = x_1^{v_1} x_2^{v_2}$. Otherwise $x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2} - x_1^{v_1} x_2^{v_2} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$, but $0 < u_1 \leq c_1$ and $v_1 \leq c_1$. Thus $|u_1 - v_1| \leq c_1$, so $u_1 = c_1, v_1 = 0$ and therefore $v_2 = c_2$, since every binomial in $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{R}$ with cardinality less than four is critical. We have that $c_1 a_1 + a_2 u_2 = c_2 a_2$ and also $c_1 a_1 = c_2 a_2$, so $u_2 = 0$ a contradiction.

$\boxed{\text{CASE 2(c).}}$ Now, by modifying $\mathcal{R}$ as in the previous case if necessary, we have that the binomials in $\mathcal{R}$ are of the following form: $x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2} - x_3^{u_3} x_4^{u_4}$ with $0 < u_1 \leq c_1, u_2 \neq 0$ and/or $0 < u_3 \leq c_3, u_4 \neq 0$. If there exist $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1^{v_1} x_2^{v_2} - x_3^{v_3} x_4^{v_4} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ with full support such that $x_1^{u_1+\alpha c_1} x_2^{u_2-\alpha c_2} = m x_1^{v_1} x_2^{v_2}$ (or $x_3^{u_3+\alpha c_3} x_4^{u_4-\alpha c_4} = m x_3^{v_3} x_4^{v_4}$, respectively) with $m \neq 1$, then $x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2} \longleftrightarrow m x_3^{v_3} x_4^{v_4} \longleftrightarrow x_3^{u_3} x_4^{u_4}$ (or $x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2} \longleftrightarrow x_1^{v_1} x_2^{v_2} m \longleftrightarrow x_3^{u_3} x_4^{u_4}$, respectively) is a path in $G_b(I_{\mathcal{A}})$, where $b = u_1 a_1 + u_2 a_2$, a contradiction with Theorem 1.8. So, we conclude that all the binomials in $\mathcal{R}$ are of the desired form. Moreover, given $f = x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2} - x_3^{u_3} x_4^{u_4} \in \mathcal{R}$ and a monomial $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}$ with $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}}) = u_1 a_1 + u_2 a_2$, then either $v_1 = v_2 = 0$ or $v_1 = v_3 = v_4 = 0$ and $v_2 > c_2$. Indeed, since $x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2} - x_1^{v_1} x_2^{v_2} x_3^{v_3} x_4^{v_4} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$, then

(i) $g = x_1^{u_1-v_1} x_2^{u_2-v_2} - x_3^{v_3} x_4^{v_4} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$, when $v_1 \leq u_1$ and $v_2 < u_2$. If g has full support, then $v_1 = v_2 = 0$, otherwise $f \notin \mathcal{R}$. If for instance $u_1 - v_1 = 0$, then $u_2 - v_2 \geq c_2$, because of the minimality of c_2. Thus, $g' = x_1^{u_1-v_1+c_1} x_2^{u_2-v_2-c_2} - x_3^{v_3} x_4^{v_4} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$. If g' has full support, then $v_1 = v_2 = 0$; otherwise the monomial $x_1^{u_1-v_1+c_1} x_2^{u_2-v_2-c_2}$ properly divides $x_1^{u_1+c_1} x_2^{u_2-c_2}$, that is to say, $f \notin \mathcal{R}$. If g' does not have full support, say $v_3 = 0$, then $v_4 \geq c_4$ (due to the minimality of c_4). So, we may define $g'' = x_1^{u_1-v_1+c_1} x_2^{u_2-v_2-c_2} - x_3^{c_3} x_4^{v_4-c_4} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ and conclude that $v_1 = v_2 = 0$, as before.

(ii) $g = x_1^{u_1-v_1} - x_2^{v_2-u_2} x_3^{v_3} x_4^{v_4} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$, when $v_1 < u_1$ and $v_2 \geq u_2$. Since $0 < u_1 \leq c_1$, we have that $v_1 = 0$ and also $u_1 = c_1$. Thus $v_2 - u_2 = c_2$ and $v_3 = v_4 = 0$, since $x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{c_2}$ is the only critical binomial with respect to x_1.

(iii) $g = x_2^{u_2-v_2} - x_1^{v_1-u_1} x_3^{v_3} x_4^{v_4} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$, when $v_1 \geq u_1$ and $v_2 < u_2$. Now, by the minimality of c_2, we have that $u_2 - v_2 \geq c_2$ and therefore $h = x_1^{c_1} x_2^{u_2-v_2-c_2} - x_1^{v_1-u_1} x_3^{v_3} x_4^{v_4} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$. So, either $x_1^{c_1+u_1-v_1} x_2^{u_2-v_2-c_2} - x_3^{v_3} x_4^{v_4} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$, when $c_1 \geq v_1 - u_1$, or $x_2^{u_2-v_2-c_2} - x_1^{v_1-u_1-c_1} x_3^{v_3} x_4^{v_4} \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$, when $c_1 < v_1 - u_1$. In the first case we proceed as in (i), while in the other we repeat the same argument and so on. This process can not continue indefinitely, since there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha c_1 < v_1 - u_1$, and thus we are done.

From Theorem 1.8 we have that there exists a minimal generator of $\mathcal{A}$−degree $\deg_{\mathcal{A}}(f)$ for each $f \in \mathcal{R}$. Furthermore, by direct checking one can show that all the binomials in $\mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{R}$ have a different $\mathcal{A}$−degree, and all these $\mathcal{A}$−degrees are different from both $c_1 a_1$ and $c_2 a_2$. Thus, we conclude that $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{R}$ is a minimal system of generators of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$. $\qquad\square$

Combining Theorem 3.8 with Corollaries 2.5 and 2.16 yields the following theorem.

Theorem 3.9. *With the same notation as in Theorem 3.8, the ideal $I_\mathcal{A}$ has a unique minimal system of generators if, and only if, $C_\mathcal{A}$ has a unique minimal system of generators and $\mathcal{R} = \varnothing$.*

In [15], it is shown that there exist semigroup ideals of $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_4]$ with unique minimal system of binomial generators of cardinality m, for every $m \geq 7$.

Example 3.10. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{6, 8, 17, 19\}$. The critical binomial $x_1^4 - x_2^3$ of $I_\mathcal{A}$ is indispensable, while the critical binomial $x_4^2 - x_1 x_2^4$ is not indispensable. Thus we are in CASE 4(b). The binomial $x_1^2 x_2^3 - x_3 x_4$ belongs to $\mathcal{R}$ and therefore, from Theorem 3.9, the toric ideal $I_\mathcal{A}$ does not have a unique minimal system of binomial generators.

Example 3.11. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{25, 30, 57, 76\}$, then the minimal number of generators of $I_\mathcal{A}$ equals 8. The only critical binomials of $I_\mathcal{A}$ are $\pm(x_1^6 - x_2^5)$ and $\pm(x_3^4 - x_4^3)$, so we are in CASE 2(c). The binomial $x_1^3 x_2^7 - x_3 x_4^3$ belongs to $\mathcal{R}$ and therefore, from Theorem 3.9, the toric ideal $I_\mathcal{A}$ does not have a unique minimal system of binomial generators.

Observe that $I_\mathcal{A}$ is a complete intersection only in cases 2(b-d), 3 and 4(b). Moreover, except from 2(c), in all the other cases $I_\mathcal{A} = C_\mathcal{A}$. In the case 2(c) a minimal system of binomial generators is $x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{c_2}$, $x_3^{c_3} - x_4^{c_4}$ and $x_1^{u_1} x_2^{u_2} - x_3^{u_3} x_4^{u_4}$ where $a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 = a_3 u_3 + a_4 u_4 = \operatorname{lcm}(\gcd(a_1, a_2), \gcd(a_3, a_4))$ (see, [6]).

It is well known that the ring $\Bbbk[\mathbf{x}]/I_\mathcal{A}$ is Gorenstein if and only if the semigroup $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}$ is symmetric, see [13]. We will prove that if $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}$ is symmetric and $I_\mathcal{A}$ is not a complete intersection, then $I_\mathcal{A}$ has a unique minimal system of binomial generators.

Theorem 3.12. *If $f_1 = x_1^{c_1} - x_3^{u_{13}} x_4^{u_{14}}$, $f_2 = x_2^{c_2} - x_1^{u_{21}} x_4^{u_{24}}$, $f_3 = x_3^{c_3} - x_1^{u_{31}} x_2^{u_{32}}$ and $f_4 = x_4^{c_4} - x_2^{u_{42}} x_3^{u_{43}}$ are critical binomials of $I_\mathcal{A}$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(f_i)$ has cardinality equal to 3, for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, 4\}$, then $I_\mathcal{A}$ has a unique minimal system of binomial generators.*

Proof. We have that every exponent u_{ij} of x_j is strictly less than c_j, for each $j = 1, \ldots, 4$. If for instance $u_{13} \geq c_3$, then $x_1^{c_1} - x_1^{u_{31}} x_2^{u_{32}} x_3^{u_{13} - c_3} x_4^{u_{14}} = f_1 + x_3^{u_{13} - c_3} x_4^{u_{14}} f_3 \in I_\mathcal{A}$ and therefore $x_1^{c_1 - u_{31}} - x_2^{u_{32}} x_3^{u_{13} - c_3} x_4^{u_{14}} \in I_\mathcal{A}$, a contradiction to the minimality of c_1. By Proposition 2.3 we have that $c_i a_i \neq c_j a_j$, for every $i \neq j$. We will prove that every f_i is indispensable of $C_\mathcal{A}$. Suppose for example that f_1 is not indispensable of $C_\mathcal{A}$, then there is a binomial $g = x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{v_2} x_3^{v_3} x_4^{v_4} \in I_\mathcal{A}$. So $x_3^{u_{13}} x_4^{u_{14}} - x_2^{v_2} x_3^{v_3} x_4^{v_4} \in I_\mathcal{A}$ and thus $v_3 < u_{13}$, $v_4 < u_{14}$ since $u_{13} < c_3$ and $u_{14} < c_4$. We have that $x_2^{v_2} - x_3^{u_{13} - v_3} x_4^{u_{14} - v_4} \in I_\mathcal{A}$ and also $x_1^{c_1} - x_1^{u_{21}} x_2^{v_2 - c_2} x_3^{v_3} x_4^{u_{24} + v_4} = g + x_2^{v_2 - c_2} x_3^{v_3} x_4^{v_4} f_2 \in I_\mathcal{A}$. Therefore $x_1^{c_1 - u_{21}} - x_2^{v_2 - c_2} x_3^{v_3} x_4^{u_{24} + v_4} \in I_\mathcal{A}$, a contradiction to the minimality of c_1. Analogously we can prove that f_2, f_3 and f_4 are indispensable of $C_\mathcal{A}$. Thus $C_\mathcal{A}$ is generated by its indispensable and therefore, from Theorem 3.9, the toric ideal $I_\mathcal{A}$ has a unique minimal system of binomial generators. $\square$

Corollary 3.13. *Let $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}$ be a symmetric semigroup. If $I_\mathcal{A}$ is not a complete intersection, then it has a unique minimal system of binomial generators.*

Proof. From Theorem 3 in [3] the toric ideal $I_\mathcal{A}$ has a minimal generating set consisting of five binomials, namely four critical binomials of the form defined in the above theorem and a non critical binomial. By Theorem 3.12 the toric ideal $I_\mathcal{A}$ is generated by its indispensable. $\square$

In higher embedding dimensions, the above result fails. In [19] it is shown that the semigroup generated by $\mathcal{A} = \{15, 16, 81, 82, 83, 84\}$ is symmetric. Since the monomials $x_1^{11}, x_3 x_6$ and $x_4 x_5$ have the same $\mathcal{A}$−degree, we conclude, by Theorem 1.8, that the ideal $I_\mathcal{A}$ does not have a unique minimal system of binomial generators.

References

[1] A. Alcántar, R.H. Villarreal. *Critical binomials of monomial curves.* Comm. Algebra **22** (1994), no. 8, 3037-3052.

[2] S. Aoki, A. Takemura, R. Yoshida. *Indispensable monomials of toric ideals and Markov bases.* J. Symbolic Comput. **43** (2008), no. 6-7, 490-507.

[3] H. Bresinsky. *Symmetric semigroups of integers generated by 4 elements.* Manuscripta Math. **17** (1975), no. 3, 205-219.

[4] H. Bresinsky. *Binomial generating sets for monomial curves, with applications in $\mathbb{A}^4$.* Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino **46** (1988), no. 3, 353-370.

[5] H. Charalambous, A. Katsabekis, A. Thoma. *Minimal systems of binomial generators and the indispensable complex of a toric ideal.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (2007), 3443-3451.

[6] C. Delorme. *Sous-monoïdes d'intersection complète de $\mathbb{N}$.* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **9** (1976), no. 1, 145-154.

[7] D. Eisenbud, B. Sturmfels. *Binomial ideals.* Duke Math. J. **84** (1996), no. 1, 1-45.

[8] K. Fischer, J. Shapiro. *Mixed matrices and binomial ideals.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra **113** (1996), 39-54.

[9] P.A. García Sánchez, I. Ojeda. *Uniquely presented finitely generated commutative monoids.* Pacific J. Math. **248** (2010), no. 1, 91-105.

[10] J. Herzog. *Generators and relations of abelian semigroups and semigroup rings.* Manuscripta Math. **3** (1970), 175-193.

[11] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, F. Hreinsdóttirc, T. Kahle, J. Rauh. *Binomial edge ideals and conditional independence statements.* Adv. in Appl. Math. **45** (2010), no. 3, 317-333.

[12] A. Katsabekis, A. Thoma. *Specializations of multigradings and the arithmetical rank of lattice ideals.* Comm. Algebra **38** (2010), no. 5, 1904-1918.

[13] E. Kunz. *The value semigroup of one dimensional Gorenstein ring.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (1970), 748-751.

[14] H. Ohsugi, T. Hibi. *Indispensable binomials of finite graphs.* J. Algebra Appl. **4** (2005), no. 4, 421-434.

[15] I. Ojeda. *Examples of generic lattice ideals of codimension 3.* Comm. Algebra **36** (2008), no. 1, 279-287.

[16] I. Ojeda, P. Pisón-Casares. *On the hull resolution of an affine monomial curve.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra **192** (2004), 53-67.

[17] I. Ojeda, A. Vigneron-Tenorio. *Simplicial complexes and minimal free resolution of monomial algebras.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214** (2010), no. 6, 850-861.

[18] I. Ojeda, A. Vigneron-Tenorio. *Indispensable binomials in semigroup ideals.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), 4205-4216.

[19] J.C. Rosales. *Symmetric numerical semigroups with arbitrary multiplicity and embedding dimension.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), no. 8, 2197-2203.

[20] J.C. Rosales, P.A. García Sánchez. *Affine semigroups having a unique Betti element,* in preparation.

[21] B. Sturmfels. *Gröbner bases and convex polytopes*, volume 8 of *University Lecture Series.* American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.

Centrum Wiskunde & Informatica (CWI), Postbus 94079, 1090 GB Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
E-mail address: katsabek@aegean.gr

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Extremadura, E-06071 Badajoz (Spain).
E-mail address: ojedamc@unex.es